Faculty Senate Campus Perception Survey Results for 2019-2020

University Results	рр. 3 -6
Results by College	
College Arts and Media	pp. 7-10
College of Business Administration	pp. 11 - 14
College of Criminal Justice	pp. 15 - 18
College of Education	pp. 19 -22
College of Health Sciences	pp. 23 - 26
College of Humanities and Social Sciences	pp. 27 - 30
College of Osteopathic Medicine	pp. 31 - 34
College of Science and Technology	pp. 35 - 38
Newton Gresham Library	pp. 39 - 42
Comparison Across Colleges	pp. 43 - 44
Historical Comparison	pp. 45 - 46
COVID-19 Likert Comparison	р. 47

University Results

2019 - 2020

Total number of respondents	490
Completed Surveys	453
Tenured/Tenure-Track	324
Tenured/Tenure-Track at SHSU	585
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	55.4%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	99
Number of surveys where rank was unanswered	67

Number of surveys where rank was unanswered		67														
				Much m	ore than	More	than			Less	than	Much le	ess than			No
				Satisfac	tory (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N/A		Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν
University President (D. Hoyt)	426	3.04	1.21	58	11.84%	78	15.92%	135	27.55%	79	16.12%	50	10.20%	27	5.51%	64
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	423	3.30	1.24	77	15.71%	89	18.16%	116	23.67%	56	11.43%	38	7.76%	48	9.80%	67
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	424	2.68	1.18	17	3.47%	33	6.73%	69	14.08%	54	11.02%	41	8.37%	210	42.86%	66
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	421	3.66	1.06	66	13.47%	65	13.27%	91	18.57%	15	3.06%	10	2.04%	175	35.71%	69
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	423	3.26	1.06	27	5.51%	35	7.14%	73	14.90%	28	5.71%	8	1.63%	252	51.43%	67
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	422	3.10	1.09	20	4.08%	41	8.37%	74	15.10%	31	6.33%	16	3.27%	240	48.98%	68
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	422	3.37	1.24	63	12.86%	58	11.84%	80	16.33%	42	8.57%	22	4.49%	157	32.04%	68
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	421	3.38	1.20	42	8.57%	56	11.43%	73	14.90%	17	3.47%	22	4.49%	211	43.06%	69
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	419	3.45	1.19	51	10.41%	47	9.59%	72	14.69%	23	4.69%	16	3.27%	210	42.86%	71
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	419	3.61	1.03	57	11.63%	65	13.27%	91	18.57%	17	3.47%	8	1.63%	181	36.94%	71
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	418	3.35	1.25	54	11.02%	60	12.24%	74	15.10%	30	6.12%	26	5.31%	174	35.51%	72
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	417	3.73	1.07	68	13.88%	62	12.65%	78	15.92%	11	2.24%	10	2.04%	188	38.37%	73
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	421	3.68	1.14	73	14.90%	72	14.69%	73	14.90%	19	3.88%	14	2.86%	170	34.69%	69
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	419	3.69	1.09	61	12.45%	67	13.67%	70	14.29%	14	2.86%	11	2.24%	196	40.00%	71
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	421	3.39	1.12	37	7.55%	41	8.37%	78	15.92%	17	3.47%	13	2.65%	235	47.96%	69

University - Whole							at agree	Neither	0		what		Disagree			No
			-	Strongly	• • •		1)	Disagr	. /	Disag			1)		/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my departmental/program's budget decisions.	420	2.79	1.52	63	12.86%	84	17.14%	56	11.43%	46	9.39%	121	24.69%	50	10.20%	70
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Administrators.	421	2.42	1.35	32	6.53%	58	11.84%	73	14.90%	66	13.47%	134	27.35%	58	11.84%	69
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Faculty.	420	3.72	1.39	148	30.20%	111	22.65%	36	7.35%	39	7.96%	47	9.59%	39	7.96%	70
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	421	3.05	1.42	70	14.29%	82	16.73%	84	17.14%	47	9.59%	79	16.12%	59	12.04%	69
Administration effectively communicates with the faculty.	422	3.32	1.42	113	23.06%	105	21.43%	56	11.43%	79	16.12%	60	12.24%	9	1.84%	68
Administration consistently follows official policies.	421	3.63	1.32	135	27.55%	93	18.98%	78	15.92%	42	8.57%	38	7.76%	35	7.14%	69
The University Faculty Senate is effective in representing faculty views to the administration.	423	3.72	1.19	110	22.45%	110	22.45%	73	14.90%	33	6.73%	23	4.69%	74	15.10%	67
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	414	3.89	1.30	182	37.14%	117	23.88%	33	6.73%	49	10.00%	32	6.53%	1	0.20%	76
The services that DELTA (Distance Education & Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are adequate.	413	4.17	1.02	189	38.57%	132	26.94%	42	8.57%	23	4.69%	11	2.24%	16	3.27%	77
There is adequate support for developing online courses/degrees/programs.	411	4.17	1.05	187	38.16%	122	24.90%	34	6.94%	26	5.31%	12	2.45%	30	6.12%	79
Library Services meets my needs.	411	4.33	0.89	207	42.24%	104	21.22%	44	8.98%	17	3.47%	2	0.41%	37	7.55%	79
The library meets the needs of my department's curriculum.	410	4.28	0.88	183	37.35%	123	25.10%	43	8.78%	12	2.45%	4	0.82%	45	9.18%	80
I receive adequate support from the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs.	410	3.86	1.15	110	22.45%	99	20.20%	61	12.45%	18	3.67%	19	3.88%	103	21.02%	80
The resources available for my research are adequate.	411	3.68	1.25	112	22.86%	100	20.41%	66	13.47%	38	7.76%	27	5.51%	68	13.88%	79
The resources available to provide a successful graduate program are adequate.	407	3.31	1.35	71	14.49%	91	18.57%	52	10.61%	54	11.02%	41	8.37%	98	20.00%	83
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the needs of the faculty.	411	3.67	1.25	110	22.45%	115	23.47%	54	11.02%	48	9.80%	26	5.31%	58	11.84%	79
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting quality students.	409	3.15	1.27	56	11.43%	121	24.69%	79	16.12%	75	15.31%	50	10.20%	28	5.71%	81
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	410	3.30	1.24	59	12.04%	87	17.76%	72	14.69%	56	11.43%	29	5.92%	107	21.84%	80
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	409	3.32	1.23	50	10.20%	63	12.86%	76	15.51%	34	6.94%	25	5.10%	161	32.86%	81

University - Whole						Somewh	at agree	Neither A	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
University - whole				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	1)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(1	L)	N/A		Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are adequate.	408	4.19	0.88	150	30.61%	113	23.06%	60	12.24%	9	1.84%	3	0.61%	73	14.90%	82
The services available through the campus bookstore are adequate.	409	3.50	1.13	62	12.65%	101	20.61%	83	16.94%	36	7.35%	19	3.88%	108	22.04%	81
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	410	3.26	1.24	58	11.84%	99	20.20%	91	18.57%	48	9.80%	40	8.16%	74	15.10%	80
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate services.	410	3.71	1.13	94	19.18%	128	26.12%	79	16.12%	24	4.90%	22	4.49%	63	12.86%	80
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	406	3.83	1.09	67	13.67%	78	15.92%	46	9.39%	14	2.86%	10	2.04%	191	38.98%	84
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	406	3.74	1.19	62	12.65%	60	12.24%	43	8.78%	14	2.86%	14	2.86%	213	43.47%	84
There is adequate parking for faculty.	409	3.21	1.46	92	18.78%	107	21.84%	48	9.80%	61	12.45%	75	15.31%	26	5.31%	81
My physical work environment (office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	409	3.87	1.21	151	30.82%	125	25.51%	45	9.18%	45	9.18%	22	4.49%	21	4.29%	81
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the workplace.	413	3.92	1.33	203	41.43%	80	16.33%	41	8.37%	53	10.82%	30	6.12%	6	1.22%	77
I feel physically safe on campus.	412	4.39	0.88	239	48.78%	109	22.24%	34	6.94%	19	3.88%	3	0.61%	8	1.63%	78
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in my College.	409	3.73	1.19	108	22.04%	109	22.24%	54	11.02%	49	10.00%	15	3.06%	74	15.10%	81
My teaching load is fair.	410	4.01	1.15	170	34.69%	129	26.33%	39	7.96%	39	7.96%	17	3.47%	16	3.27%	80
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	413	3.44	1.30	104	21.22%	102	20.82%	77	15.71%	67	13.67%	36	7.35%	27	5.51%	77
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	412	3.42	1.22	70	14.29%	101	20.61%	89	18.16%	41	8.37%	31	6.33%	80	16.33%	78
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the university.	411	3.34	1.33	91	18.57%	89	18.16%	87	17.76%	55	11.22%	45	9.18%	44	8.98%	79
I receive adequate clerical support.	413	3.80	1.33	160	32.65%	94	19.18%	49	10.00%	45	9.18%	33	6.73%	32	6.53%	77
There is collegial support within my department/program.	411	4.03	1.19	192	39.18%	108	22.04%	50	10.20%	30	6.12%	23	4.69%	8	1.63%	79
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my college.	409	3.43	1.33	74	15.10%	56	11.43%	67	13.67%	31	6.33%	31	6.33%	150	30.61%	81
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an internal grant.	409	3.66	1.21	89	18.16%	79	16.12%	72	14.69%	25	5.10%	21	4.29%	123	25.10%	81

University - Whole				Strongly	Agree (5)	Somewha	t agree	Neither A	-	Somewhat		Strongly	Disagree	N/A		No
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	(4) N	%	Disagree N	(3)	Disagree N	(2) %	(1) N	%	N	%	Response N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	411	3.14	1.37	76			-	73	-		14.69%				-	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is accurate.	411	3.02	1.39	68	13.88%	96	19.59%	68	13.88%	78	15.92%	74	15.10%	27	5.51%	5 79
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	411	3.09	1.41	61	12.45%	65	13.27%	61	12.45%	50	10.20%	56	11.43%	118	24.08%	5 79
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	411	2.89	1.43	50	10.20%	58	11.84%	54	11.02%	56	11.43%	67	13.67%	126	25.71%	5 79
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	407	3.80	1.22	122	24.90%	104	21.22%	58	11.84%	32	6.53%	23	4.69%	68	13.88%	83
The FES is an adequate measurement of my performance as a faculty member.	407	3.29	1.28	63	12.86%	108	22.04%	56	11.43%	69	14.08%	35	7.14%	76	15.51%	83
The merit system is applied fairly.	407	3.19	1.28	54	11.02%	98	20.00%	64	13.06%	64	13.06%	40	8.16%	87	17.76%	6 83
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	407	2.70	1.32	30	6.12%	49	10.00%	68	13.88%	55	11.22%	67	13.67%	138	28.16%	6 83
The promotion system is applied fairly.	407	3.43	1.24	68	13.88%	95	19.39%	71	14.49%	43	8.78%	28	5.71%	102	20.82%	6 83
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	406	3.74	1.21	98	20.00%	94	19.18%	58	11.84%	26	5.31%	22	4.49%	108	22.04%	84
The tenure system process at the university level is clear.	405	3.14	1.32	62	12.65%	74	15.10%	65	13.27%	76	15.51%	39	7.96%	89	18.16%	85
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	405	3.55	1.29	70	14.29%	59	12.04%	53	10.82%	30	6.12%	21	4.29%	172	35.10%	85
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Tenure and Promotion.	406	3.10	1.57	97	19.80%	58	11.84%	55	11.22%	43	8.78%	88	17.96%	65	13.27%	84
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	407	3.13	1.58	101	20.61%	57	11.63%	50	10.20%	43	8.78%	86	17.55%	70	14.29%	83
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution to Sam Houston State University.	407	2.76	1.35	50	10.20%	89	18.16%	54	11.02%	119	24.29%	83	16.94%	12	2.45%	83
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank when compared to similar universities.	406	2.61	1.32	39	7.96%	72	14.69%	61	12.45%	110	22.45%	92	18.78%	32	6.53%	84
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	409	3.91	1.15	156	31.84%	138	28.16%	53	10.82%	45	9.18%	17	3.47%	0	0.00%	5 81

College of Arts and Media	
Total number of respondents	65
Completed Surveys	55
Tenured/Tenure-Track	45
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	75
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	60.00%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	16
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	4

				Much mo	re than	More	than			Less	than	Much le	ssthan			
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfact	tory (1)	N,	/A	No Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	57	3.30	0.94	5	7.69%	17	26.15%	23	35.38%	7	10.77%	2	3.08%	3	4.62%	8
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	56	3.37	1.12	7	10.77%	16	24.62%	13	20.00%	7	10.77%	3	4.62%	10	15.38%	9
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	57	2.96	1.23	3	4.62%	4	6.15%	10	15.38%	3	4.62%	4	6.15%	33	50.77%	8
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	56	3.96	0.93	9	13.85%	7	10.77%	8	12.31%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	31	47.69%	9
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	56	3.37	1.30	5	7.69%	4	6.15%	4	6.15%	5	7.69%	1	1.54%	37	56.92%	9
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	56	3.33	1.19	3	4.62%	5	7.69%	7	10.77%	1	1.54%	2	3.08%	38	58.46%	9
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	55	3.57	1.22	9	13.85%	6	9.23%	10	15.38%	3	4.62%	2	3.08%	25	38.46%	10
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	56	3.63	1.12	5	7.69%	5	7.69%	7	10.77%	1	1.54%	1	1.54%	37	56.92%	9
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	55	4.05	0.80	7	10.77%	8	12.31%	6	9.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	34	52.31%	10
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	55	4.07	0.91	12	18.46%	9	13.85%	8	12.31%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	25	38.46%	10
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	56	3.38	1.28	6	9.23%	4	6.15%	10	15.38%	1	1.54%	3	4.62%	32	49.23%	9
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	54	3.74	0.96	5	7.69%	9	13.85%	8	12.31%	0	0.00%	1	1.54%	31	47.69%	11
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	55	4.13	0.78	11	16.92%	12	18.46%	7	10.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	25	38.46%	10
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	55	3.75	0.90	6	9.23%	7	10.77%	10	15.38%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	31	47.69%	10
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	56	3.48	1.21	6	9.23%	3	4.62%	8	12.31%	3	4.62%	1	1.54%	35	53.85%	9
Dean (R. Shields)	56	3.58	1.23	14	21.54%	17	26.15%	9	13.85%	9	13.85%	3	4.62%	4	6.15%	9
Associate Dean (W. Barrett)	56	3.63	0.98	6	9.23%	13	20.00%	8	12.31%	5	7.69%	0	0.00%	24	36.92%	9
Associate Dean (P. Hasekoester)	56	3.33	1.28	5	7.69%	4	6.15%	7	10.77%	3	4.62%	2	3.08%	35	53.85%	9
Associate Dean (B. Miller)	56	3.86	0.86	10	15.38%	13	20.00%	13	20.00%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	19	29.23%	9

CAM						Somew	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			
CAIM				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(1)	N	/A	No Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																0
departmental/program's budget decisions.	56	2.96	1.52	10	15.38%	15	23.08%	7	10.77%	7	10.77%	15	23.08%	2	3.08%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																10
of Administrators.	55	2.30	1.20	2	3.08%	7	10.77%	12	18.46%	12	18.46%	17	26.15%	5	7.69%	10
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																9
of Faculty.	56	3.48	1.54	19	29.23%	12	18.46%	6	9.23%	5	7.69%	10	15.38%	4	6.15%	
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																10
Planning of my College/Library.	55	3.02	1.22	6	9.23%	14	21.54%	15	23.08%	11	16.92%	7	10.77%	2	3.08%	10
Administration effectively communicates with the																9
faculty.	56	3.20	1.18	7	10.77%	19	29.23%	13	20.00%	12	18.46%	5	7.69%	0	0.00%	
																10
Administration consistently follows official policies.	55	3.63	1.25	14	21.54%	19	29.23%	7	10.77%	7	10.77%	4	6.15%	4	6.15%	
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																9
representing faculty views to the administration.	56		-					7					3.08%	7		
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	56	3.82	1.40	24	36.92%	16	24.62%	2	3.08%	7	10.77%	6	9.23%	1	1.54%	9
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																10
adequate.	55	4.23	0.99	27	41.54%	16	24.62%	6	9.23%	3	4.62%	1	1.54%	2	3.08%	
There is adequate support for developing online																9
courses/degrees/programs.	56								9.23%		6.15%			6	0.007	
Library Services meets my needs.	55	4.52	0.71	30	46.15%	14	21.54%	3	4.62%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	7	10.77%	. 10
The library meets the needs of my department's																9
curriculum.	56	4.40	0.65	22	33.85%	23	35.38%	1	1.54%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	9	13.85%	-
I receive adequate support from the Office of																9
Research and Sponsored Programs.	56	3.82	1.07	11	16.92%	16	24.62%	8	12.31%	2	3.08%	2	3.08%	17	26.15%	
																9
The resources available for my research are adequate.	56	3.30	1.17	7	10.77%	14	21.54%	11	16.92%	9	13.85%	3	4.62%	12	18.46%	
The resources available to provide a successful									40.055							10
graduate program are adequate.	55	2.94	1.19	3	4.62%	8	12.31%	9	13.85%	8	12.31%	4	6.15%	23	35.38%	·
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the												_		_		9
needs of the faculty.	56	3.08	1.26	6	9.23%	15	23.08%	12	18.46%	9	13.85%	7	10.77%	7	10.77%	·
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting									40.055				40.055			9
quality students.	56	-										-		2		
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	56								4.62%		9.23%		1.54%	12		
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	56	3.67	0.96	9	13.85%	12	18.46%	14	21.54%	4	6.15%	0	0.00%	17	26.15%	9 9

CAM						Somew	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			
CAM				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagr	ee (2)	(:	L) -	N	/A	No Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																0
adequate.	56	4.35	0.83	27	41.54%	13	20.00%	8	12.31%	1	1.54%	0	0.00%	7	10.77%	9
The services available through the campus bookstore		1						1	1							0
are adequate.	56	3.83	0.92	12	18.46%	12	18.46%	15	23.08%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%	15	23.08%	5
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	56	3.52	1.27	11	16.92%	14	21.54%	11	16.92%	3	4.62%	5	7.69%	12	18.46%	9
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																0
services.	56	3.69	1.06	13	20.00%	14	21.54%	15	23.08%	5	7.69%	1	1.54%	8	12.31%	9
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	56	3.95	0.94	7	10.77%	6	9.23%	6	9.23%	1	1.54%	о	0.00%	36	55.38%	9
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	56	4.00	0.86	7	10.77%	6	9.23%	7	10.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	36	55.38%	9
There is adequate parking for faculty.	56	2.92	1.52	9	13.85%	14	21.54%	7	10.77%	6	9.23%	15	23.08%	5	7.69%	9
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	56	3.67	1.35	20	30.77%	15	23.08%	7	10.77%	8	12.31%	5	7.69%	1	1.54%	9
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																0
workplace.	56	3.79	1.29	23	35.38%	14	21.54%	5	7.69%	12	18.46%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%	9
I feel physically safe on campus.	56	4.34	0.79	28	43.08%	21	32.31%	5	7.69%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																0
my College.	56	3.57	1.17	12	18.46%	15	23.08%	10	15.38%	8	12.31%	2	3.08%	9	13.85%	
My teaching load is fair.	55	3.74	1.17	16	24.62%	21	32.31%	6	9.23%	9	13.85%	2	3.08%	1	1.54%	10
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	56	3.09	1.24	e	9.23%	19	29.23%	11	16.92%	12	18.46%	7	10.77%	1	1.54%	9
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	56	3.10	1.28	6	9.23%	16	24.62%	10	15.38%	9	13.85%	7	10.77%	8	12.31%	9
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																0
university.	56	2.92	1.31	E	9.23%	12	18.46%	14	21.54%	8	12.31%	10	15.38%	6	9.23%	, J
I receive adequate clerical support.	56	3.56	1.24	16	24.62%	11	16.92%	14	21.54%	8	12.31%	3	4.62%	4	6.15%	9
There is collegial support within my																0
department/program.	56	3.76	1.26	18	27.69%	21	32.31%	6	9.23%	5	7.69%	5	7.69%	1	1.54%	
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																٥
college.	56	3.27	1.44	8	12.31%	6	9.23%	7	10.77%	4	6.15%	5	7.69%	26	40.00%	9
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																10
internal grant.	55	3.50	1.16	10	15.38%	7	10.77%	15	23.08%	4	6.15%	2	3.08%	17	26.15%	10

САМ						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			
CAM				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disagi	ree (2)	(1)	Ν	I/A	No Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	56	2.69	1.26	4	6.15%	14	21.54%	9	13.85%	17	26.15%	11	16.92%	1	1.549	6
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	56	2.69	1.26	4	6.15%	14	21.54%	9	13.85%	17	26.15%	11	16.92%	1	. 1.549	6
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	56	2.55	1.39	4	6.15%	4	6.15%	6	9.23%	8	12.31%	9	13.85%	25	38.46%	g 6
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	56	2.52	1.41	3	4.62%	6	9.23%	6	9.23%	5	7.69%	11	16.92%	25	38.46%	6
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my								_								, g
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	56	3.81	1.16	15	23.08%	19	29.23%	7	10.77%	4	6.15%	3	4.62%	8	3 12.319	6
The FES is an adequate measurement of my	56	3.44	1.25		13.85%	14	21.54%	7	10.77%		12.31%		4.62%	15	23.089	, g
performance as a faculty member.	56	-	-	-						-		-		-		
The merit system is applied fairly.			-	7		13		-					0.0070	-		
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	56			1							10.77%	-				
The promotion system is applied fairly.	56	3.27	1.18	6	9.23%	13	20.00%	12	18.46%	6	9.23%	4	6.15%	15	23.089	6 9
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	55	3.42	1.28	9	13.85%	14	21.54%	12	18.46%	2	3.08%	6	9.23%	12	18.469	6 10
The tenure system process at the university level is clear.	55	3.02	1.25	7	10.77%	9	13.85%	12	18.46%	12	18.46%	5	7.69%	10	15.389	6 10
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	55	3.10	1.11	3	4.62%	8	12.31%	12	18.46%	5	7.69%	3	4.62%	24	36.92%	6 10
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Tenure and Promotion.	55	3.11	1.58	13	20.00%	10	15.38%	4	6.15%	9	13.85%	11	16.92%	8	12.319	6 10
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	55	3.19	1.61	16	24.62%	7	10.77%	4	6.15%	10	15.38%	10	15.38%	8	3 12.319	6 10
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution to Sam Houston State University.	55	2.29	1.16	3	4.62%	6	9.23%	8	12.31%	21	32.31%	14	21.54%	3	4.629	<u>ا</u>
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank when compared to similar universities.	55			1		6					26.15%					10
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	55			18		18	-		-	-		-				

College of Business Administration

Total number of respondents	41
Completed Surveys	31
Tenured/Tenure-Track	32
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	82
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	39.02%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	6
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	3

				Much mo Satisfacto		More Satisfac		Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfac	than tory (2)		ess than tory (1)	N	Ά	No Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	37	2.86	1.27	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	12	29.27%	6	14.63%	7	17.07%	1	2.44%	4
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	37	3.23	1.19	4	9.76%	13	31.71%	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	4
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	38	2.63	0.90	0	0.00%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	19	46.34%	3
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	38	3.41	0.96	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	16	39.02%	3
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	39	2.95	1.12	2	4.88%	4	9.76%	8	19.51%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	18	43.90%	2
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	39	2.39	0.89	0	0.00%	3	7.32%	6	14.63%	11	26.83%	3	7.32%	16	39.02%	2
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	39	2.57	1.36	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	7	17.07%	9	21.95%	2
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	38	3.05	1.00	1	2.44%	6	14.63%	10	24.39%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	16	39.02%	3
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	38	2.64	1.18	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	8	19.51%	8	19.51%	3	7.32%	16	39.02%	3
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	38	3.05	1.09	2	4.88%	5	12.20%	9	21.95%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	16	39.02%	3
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	38	2.88	1.11	1	2.44%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	21	51.22%	3
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	37	3.18	0.88	1	2.44%	4	9.76%	10	24.39%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	20	48.78%	4
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	39	2.93	1.18	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	11	26.83%	5	12.20%	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	2
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	37	3.35	1.27	4	9.76%	8	19.51%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	14	34.15%	4
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	38	3.00	1.05	1	2.44%	5	12.20%	8	19.51%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	19	46.34%	3
Dean (M. Muehsam)	36	3.11	1.62	11	26.83%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	3	7.32%	10	24.39%	0	0.00%	5
Associate Dean (K. Jesswein)	38	3.17	1.27	6	14.63%	8	19.51%	12	29.27%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	3
Associate Dean (S. Robinson)	38	4.00	1.03	13	31.71%	7	17.07%	10	24.39%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	7	17.07%	3

COBA						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
СОВА				Strongly	Agree (5)	(•	4)	Disagr	'ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	33	2.09	1.44	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	3	7.32%	18	43.90%	4	9.76%	م
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																2
of Administrators.	39	2.06	1.39	2	4.88%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	20	48.78%	2	4.88%	<u>ہ</u>
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																21
of Faculty.	20	4.03	1.14	12	29.27%	11	26.83%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	6 21
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																0
Planning of my College/Library.	32	2.74	1.54	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	12	29.27%	3	7.32%	6 9
Administration effectively communicates with the																19
faculty.	22	3.06	1.51	8	19.51%	9	21.95%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	0	0.00%	, 15 15
																14
Administration consistently follows official policies.	27	3.27	1.42	10	24.39%	6	14.63%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	6
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																13
representing faculty views to the administration.	28	3.34	1.37	9	21.95%	11	26.83%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	21	3.77	1.33	13	31.71%	8	19.51%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	6 20
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																16
adequate.	25	3.83	1.20	11	26.83%	9	21.95%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	6
There is adequate support for developing online																18
courses/degrees/programs.	23	4.06	1.14	14	34.15%	9	21.95%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	10
Library Services meets my needs.	28	4.03	0.89	9	21.95%	12	29.27%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%	5	12.20%	6 13
The library meets the needs of my department's																15
curriculum.	26	4.10	0.86	9	21.95%	13	31.71%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	1	2.44%	6	14.63%	6 13
I receive adequate support from the Office of	1															
Research and Sponsored Programs.	35	3.55	1.10	3	7.32%	10	24.39%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	13	31.71%	6
	1															14
The resources available for my research are adequate.	27	3.68	1.30	9	21.95%	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	<u>ل</u> م
The resources available to provide a successful	1															
graduate program are adequate.	32	3.15	1.29	3	7.32%	6	14.63%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	8	19.51%	6 9
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																
needs of the faculty.	16	4.32	1.01	16	39.02%	11	26.83%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	<u>25</u>
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	29	3.21	1.37	5	12.20%	11	26.83%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	1	2.44%	<u>ہ</u> 12
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	29	3.14	1.24	3	7.32%	9		4	9.76%	4		+		9		
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	35	3.05	1.29	4	9.76%	2		8		1	19.51%			-	34.15%	-

СОВА						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
СОВА				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	1)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N/A		Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																12
adequate.	29	3.91	1.13	11	26.83%	10	24.39%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	12
The services available through the campus bookstore																0
are adequate.	33	3.21	1.40	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	8	19.51%	• •
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	32	3.30	1.33	5	12.20%	11	26.83%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	9
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																12
services.	29	3.56	1.34	9	21.95%	11	26.83%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	12
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	27	3.58	1.33	7	17.07%	10	24.39%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	14
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	27	3.58	1.33	7		10	24.39%	3	7.32%	3		3		10		
There is adequate parking for faculty.	29	3.09	1.32	5		10		2		2		7		10		
	24	5.15	1.40	5	12.20%	12	29.27%	Z	4.00%	2	4.00%	/	17.07%	1	2.44%	17
My physical work environment (office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	20	3.97	1.10	9	21.95%	14	34.15%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	21
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																20
workplace.	11	3.54	1.52	15	36.59%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	30
I feel physically safe on campus.	12	4.46	0.85	19	46.34%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	29
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																21
my College.	20	4.09	1.13	15	36.59%	8	19.51%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	1	2.44%	3	7.32%	21
My teaching load is fair.	13	4.06	1.26	16	39.02%	7	17.07%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	28
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	28	3.37	1.24	7	17.07%	11	26.83%	6	14.63%	7	17.07%	3	7.32%	1	2.44%	13
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	32	3.23	1.28	4	9.76%	8	19.51%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	9
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																20
university.	21	3.29	1.34	7	17.07%	8	19.51%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	20
I receive adequate clerical support.	23	3.91	1.24	12	29.27%	12	29.27%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	18
There is collegial support within my																24
department/program.	17	3.86	1.44	16	39.02%	5	12.20%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	24
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																0
college.	32	3.24	1.26	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	15	36.59%	9
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
internal grant.	27	3.12	1.56	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	6	14.63%	10	24.39%	14

СОВА						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COBA				Strongly	Agree (5)	(*	4)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		1)	N	I/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																19
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	22	2.80	1.41	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	C	0.00%	6 19
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																18
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	23	2.68	1.51	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	10	24.39%	1	. 2.44%	6 10
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																13
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	28	2.73	1.39	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	6	5 14.63%	6
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																17
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	24	2.39	1.47	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	10	24.39%	8	19.51%	6 17
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																18
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	23	3.65	1.23	9	21.95%	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	6
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																18
performance as a faculty member.	23	3.06	1.28	4	9.76%	12	29.27%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	1	. 2.44%	6
The merit system is applied fairly.	22	3.23	1.30	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	E	5 14.63%	6 19
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	31	2.62	1.39	2	4.88%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	11	26.83%	6 10
The promotion system is applied fairly.	25	3.10	1.45	7	17.07%	7	17.07%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	6 16
																20
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	21	3.24	1.53	10	24.39%	2	4.88%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	6
The tenure system process at the university level is																18
clear.	23	2.81	1.42	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	6
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of																19
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	22	3.48	1.65	11	26.83%	0	0.00%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	4	9.76%	14	34.15%	6
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																21
Tenure and Promotion.	20	3.45	1.37	9	21.95%	8	19.51%	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	6
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																17
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	24	3.52	1.39	10	24.39%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%	6 17
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																15
to Sam Houston State University.	26	3.09	1.42	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	C	0.00%	6 15
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																17
when compared to similar universities.	24	2.78	1.52	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	, s	21.95%	3	7.32%	6 17
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	22	3.46	1.36	9	21.95%	10	24.39%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	. 4	9.76%	C	0.00%	6 19

College of Criminal Justice

Total number of respondents	41
Completed Surveys	38
Tenured/Tenure-Track	20
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	40
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	50.00%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	14
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	7

				Much mo Satisfacto			e than tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfac	than tory (2)		ess than tory (1)	N		No Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	39	3.17	1.20	4	9.76%	11	26.83%	12	29.27%	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	4	9.76%	2
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	38	3.29	1.19	4	9.76%	12	29.27%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	8	19.51%	3
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	38	2.88	1.22	1	2.44%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	3	7.32%	21	51.22%	3
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	38	4.00	0.87	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	21	51.22%	3
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	38	3.46	0.78	1	2.44%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	25	60.98%	3
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	38	3.46	0.88	2	4.88%	3	7.32%	7	17.07%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	25	60.98%	3
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	38	3.79	0.98	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	19	46.34%	3
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	38	3.60	1.06	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	23	56.10%	3
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	37	3.60	0.84	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	27	65.85%	4
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	38	3.63	0.96	4	9.76%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	22	53.66%	3
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	37	3.40	1.14	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	1	2.44%	17	41.46%	4
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	38	3.83	1.10	7	17.07%	3	7.32%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	20	48.78%	3
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	38	3.86	1.20	9	21.95%	3	7.32%	7	17.07%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	17	41.46%	3
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	38	3.77	0.83	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	25	60.98%	3
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	38	3.42	1.24	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	26	63.41%	3
Dean (P. Lyons)	39	4.31	1.20	25	60.98%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	1	2.44%	2
Associate Dean (D. Boisvert)	40	4.53	0.80	24	58.54%	12	29.27%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	1
Associate Dean (R. Garner)	39	4.30	1.06	14	34.15%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	17	41.46%	2
Associate Dean (J. Mullings)	39	3.96	1.21	11	26.83%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	15	36.59%	2

						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	y Disagree			No
COCI				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	Ν	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
I have an opportunity to participate in my																6
departmental/program's budget decisions.	36	2.56	1.46	3	7.32%	7	17.07%	8	19.51%	1	2.44%	9	21.95%	8	19.51%	, J
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																5
of Administrators.	36	2.31	1.31	1	2.44%	6	14.63%	9	21.95%	2	4.88%	10	24.39%	8	19.51%	, J
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																2
of Faculty.	39	3.55	1.44	12	29.27%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	3	3 7.32%	7	17.07%	, ²
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																5
Planning of my College/Library.	36	2.81	1.60	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	2	4.88%	7	7 17.07%	9	21.95%	, J
Administration effectively communicates with the																2
faculty.	39	3.84	1.41	17	41.46%	11	26.83%	1	2.44%	5	12.20%	3	3 7.32%	2	4.88%	<u> </u>
																2
Administration consistently follows official policies.	39	4.14	1.13	18	43.90%	10	24.39%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%	1	2.44%	4	9.76%	, Z
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																1
representing faculty views to the administration.	40	3.64	1.08	8	19.51%	3	7.32%	11	26.83%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	15	36.59%	μ μ
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	40	4.48	0.85	25	60.98%	12	29.27%	0	0.00%	3	7.32%	(0.00%	0	0.00%	5 1
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &				1			1									
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																2
adequate.	39	4.54	0.64	23	56.10%	15	36.59%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6
There is adequate support for developing online				1			1									
courses/degrees/programs.	39	4.45	0.80	22	53.66%	13	31.71%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%	<u>د</u>
Library Services meets my needs.	38	4.29	0.94	19	46.34%	8	19.51%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	(0.00%	4	9.76%	3 3
The library meets the needs of my department's				1												2
curriculum.	38	4.26	0.79	16	39.02%	11	26.83%	7	17.07%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	9.76%	, J
I receive adequate support from the Office of				1			1									
Research and Sponsored Programs.	37	3.96	1.10	12	29.27%	6	14.63%	8	19.51%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%	10	24.39%	6 4
The resources available for my research are adequate.	38	4.03	1.00	12	29.27%	10	24.39%	5	12.20%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	8	19.51%	, 3 5
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	37	3.90	1.14	12	29.27%	9	21.95%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	1	1 2.44%	6	14.63%	<u>а</u>
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																6
needs of the faculty.	35	3.25	1.51	8	19.51%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%	3	3 7.32%	9	21.95%	, o
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																
quality students.	37	3.79	1.05	9	21.95%	14	34.15%	4	9.76%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	4	9.76%	4 د
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	37	3.75	1.12	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	1	2.44%	1	1 2.44%	17	41.46%	6 4
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	37		1.14	5		5	12.20%	6	14.63%		-			19	46.34%	6 4

coci						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	y Disagree			No
				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	37	4.28	0.84	13	31.71%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	12	29.27%	6 4
The services available through the campus bookstore																1
are adequate.	37	3.76	1.09	8	19.51%	7	17.07%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	12	29.27%	4
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	37	3.22	1.19	5	12.20%	5	12.20%	10	24.39%	5	12.20%	2	4.88%	10	24.39%	4
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																1
services.	37	3.77	1.15	9	21.95%	13	31.71%	3	7.32%	5	12.20%	1	1 2.44%	6	14.63%	5
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	37	3.67	1.24	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	0	0.00%	2	4.88%	19	46.34%	4
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	35	3.31	1.45	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	4	9.76%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	20	48.78%	6
There is adequate parking for faculty.	36	3.61	1.41	13	31.71%	6	14.63%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	2	4.88%	4	9.76%	5
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	37	3.97	1.06	13	31.71%	9	21.95%	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%	5	12.20%	6 4
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the		1														2
workplace.	38	4.23	1.27	24	58.54%	8	19.51%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%	3	3 7.32%	0	0.00%	5
I feel physically safe on campus.	39	4.68	0.58	27	65.85%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	4.88%	2
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																5
my College.	36	3.84	1.27	12	29.27%	10	24.39%	3	7.32%	4	9.76%	1	1 2.44%	6	14.63%	
My teaching load is fair.	37	4.35	1.14	24	58.54%	8	19.51%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	1	1 2.44%	1	2.44%	4
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	38	3.87	1.22	17	41.46%	7	17.07%	10	24.39%	3	7.32%	1	1 2.44%	0	0.00%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	37	3.39	1.29	7	17.07%	6	14.63%	9	21.95%	3	7.32%	2	4.88%	10	24.39%	4
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																4
university.	37	3.53	1.35	11	26.83%	7	17.07%	9	21.95%	3	7.32%	3	3 7.32%	4	9.76%	
I receive adequate clerical support.	38	4.19	1.22	21	51.22%	9	21.95%	3	7.32%	1	2.44%	2	4.88%	2	4.88%	3
There is collegial support within my																5
department/program.	36	4.08	1.23	19	46.34%	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%	1	1 2.44%	1	2.44%	
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																5
college.	36	3.50	1.36	6	14.63%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	0	0.00%	2	4.88%	17	41.46%	
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																1
internal grant.	37	4.05	1.09	10	24.39%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%	0	0.00%	1	1 2.44%	15	36.59%	

coci						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongl	y Disagree			No
				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																6
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	35	3.42	1.42	11	26.83%	8	19.51%	7	17.07%	5	12.20%		3 7.32%	5 1	2.44%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																6
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	35	3.47	1.46	12	29.27%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%		2 4.88%	3	7.32%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																6
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	35	3.35	1.47	9	21.95%	8	19.51%	4	9.76%	5	12.20%		3 7.32%	5 6	14.63%	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line								_								6
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	35	3.27	1.44	9	21.95%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	6	14.63%		2 4.88%	5 7	17.07%	
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																3
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	38	4.28	0.96	16	39.02%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%	2	4.88%		0 0.00%	5 9	21.95%	
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																4
performance as a faculty member.	37		1.13	8		9		8		4			0 0.00%	-		
The merit system is applied fairly.	36	3.56	1.34	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%		2 4.88%	5 10	24.39%	5
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	34	3.13	1.45	6	14.63%	3	7.32%	8	19.51%	2	4.88%		2 4.88%	5 13	31.71%	7
The promotion system is applied fairly.	36	3.60	1.29	8	19.51%	6	14.63%	6	14.63%	3	7.32%		1 2.44%	5 12	29.27%	5
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	36	3.92	1.15	9	21.95%	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	0	0.00%		1 2.44%	5 12	29.27%	5
The tenure system process at the university level is																6
clear.	35	3.40	1.35	7	17.07%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	3	7.32%		1 2.44%	5 12	29.27%	, U
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	37	4.00	1.25	10	24.39%	2	4.88%	5	12.20%	1	2.44%		1 2.44%	18	43.90%	4
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																_
Tenure and Promotion.	36	3.38	1.42	9	21.95%	5	12.20%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%		3 7.32%	8 8	19.51%	5
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for								_								5
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	36	3.36	1.45	9	21.95%	4	9.76%	7	17.07%	4	9.76%		3 7.32%	5 9	21.95%	
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																8
to Sam Houston State University.	33	3.29	1.49	10	24.39%	8	19.51%	5	12.20%	6	14.63%		2 4.88%	5 2	4.88%	
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																6
when compared to similar universities.	35	-	1.43	10			17.07%	5		9			2 4.88%			
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	38	4.46	1.02	26	63.41%	10	24.39%	0	0.00%	1	2.44%		1 2.44%	5 0	0.00%	3

College of Education

Total number of respondents	87
Completed Surveys	79
Tenured/Tenure-Track	63
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	83
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	75.90%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	18
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	6

				Much mo Satisfacto		More Satisfac		Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfac		Much le Satisfac		N		No Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	81	2.94	1.26	11	12.64%	15	17.24%	24	27.59%	18	20.69%	12	13.79%	1	1.15%	9
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	80	3.41	1.22	18	20.69%	15	17.24%	25	28.74%	9	10.34%	6	6.90%	7	8.05%	8
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	81	2.88	1.22	4	4.60%	8	9.20%	14	16.09%	7	8.05%	7	8.05%	41	47.13%	9
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	80	3.44	1.09	9	10.34%	18	20.69%	19	21.84%	4	4.60%	4	4.60%	26	29.89%	9
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	81	3.32	1.07	6	6.90%	7	8.05%	14	16.09%	6	6.90%	1	1.15%	47	54.02%	9
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	79	3.36	0.96	5	5.75%	10	11.49%	20	22.99%	2	2.30%	2	2.30%	40	45.98%	10
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	81	3.55	1.14	15	17.24%	11	12.64%	20	22.99%	7	8.05%	2	2.30%	26	29.89%	9
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	79	3.61	1.00	9	10.34%	12	13.79%	16	18.39%	3	3.45%	1	1.15%	38	43.68%	10
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	79	3.46	1.04	7	8.05%	9	10.34%	17	19.54%	2	2.30%	2	2.30%	42	48.28%	10
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	80	3.57	1.02	10	11.49%	11	12.64%	18	20.69%	4	4.60%	1	1.15%	36	41.38%	9
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	81	3.11	1.30	11	12.64%	10	11.49%	18	20.69%	10	11.49%	8	9.20%	24	27.59%	11
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	80	3.93	0.97	17	19.54%	9	10.34%	16	18.39%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%	36	41.38%	10
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	80	3.65	1.06	12	13.79%	15	17.24%	14	16.09%	6	6.90%	1	1.15%	32	36.78%	10
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	80	4.00	0.83	17	19.54%	16	18.39%	17	19.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	30	34.48%	9
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	80	3.70	0.91	9	10.34%	15	17.24%	17	19.54%	1	1.15%	1	1.15%	37	42.53%	9
Dean (S. Edmonson)	82	4.32	0.95	47	54.02%	17	19.54%	15	17.24%	0	0.00%	2	2.30%	1	1.15%	9
Associate Dean (R. Bustamente)	80	4.00	0.99	17	19.54%	5	5.75%	15	17.24%	1	1.15%	0	0.00%	42	48.28%	9
Associate Dean (S. Stewart)	82	4.49	0.75	46	52.87%	14	16.09%	11	12.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11	12.64%	9
Associate Dean (J. Nerren)	79	3.54	1.18	17	19.54%	12	13.79%	23	26.44%	5	5.75%	4	4.60%	18	20.69%	9

COE						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COE				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	([1]	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	79	3.09	1.52	16	18.39%	14	16.09%	8	9.20%	12	13.79%	14	16.09%	15	17.24%	, c
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																
of Administrators.	80	2.88	1.40	11	12.64%	13	14.94%	13	14.94%	15	17.24%	14	16.09%	14	16.09%	, , ,
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																9
of Faculty.	79	3.98	1.17	28	32.18%	22	25.29%	6	6.90%	7	8.05%	3	3.45%	13	14.94%	, c
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																
Planning of my College/Library.	80	3.83	1.29	28	32.18%	19	21.84%	10	11.49%	6	6.90%	6	6.90%	11	12.64%	, , ,
Administration effectively communicates with the																g
faculty.	79	4.10	1.26	44	50.57%	15	17.24%	7	8.05%	7	8.05%	5	5.75%	1	1.15%	6
																-
Administration consistently follows official policies.	80	4.25	1.03	41	47.13%	21	24.14%	9	10.34%	2	2.30%	3	3.45%	4	4.60%	, /
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																
representing faculty views to the administration.	80	4.08	1.04	28	32.18%	21	24.14%	11	12.64%	3	3.45%	2	2.30%	15	17.24%	, /
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	78	3.99	1.42	45	51.72%	11	12.64%	6	6.90%	8	9.20%	8	9.20%	0	0.00%	s g
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																8
adequate.	79	4.37	1.01	48	55.17%	21	24.14%	4	4.60%	3	3.45%	3	3.45%	0	0.00%	6
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	78	4.51	0.88	49	56.32%	17	19.54%	4	4.60%	1	1.15%	2	2.30%	5	5.75%	,
Library Services meets my needs.	79	4.55	0.73	49	56.32%	16	18.39%	7	8.05%	1	1.15%	0	0.00%	6	6.90%	6 8
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	78	4.52	0.73	47	54.02%	18	20.69%	7	8.05%	1	1.15%	0	0.00%	5	5.75%	, S
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	79	3.88	1.27	25	28.74%	16	18.39%	9	10.34%	4	4.60%	5	5.75%	20	22.99%	, c
The resources available for my research are adequate.	79	3.89	1.25	29	33.33%	13	14.94%	11	12.64%	8	9.20%	3	3.45%	15	17.24%	, c
The resources available to provide a successful																
graduate program are adequate.	79	3.76	1.26	25	28.74%	19	21.84%	8	9.20%	12	13.79%	3	3.45%	12	13.79%	í c
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																
needs of the faculty.	79	4.03	1.09	27	31.03%	21	24.14%	6	6.90%	8	9.20%	1	1.15%	16	18.39%	, c
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																c
quality students.	79	3.63	1.12	17	19.54%	28	32.18%	16	18.39%	8	9.20%	4	4.60%	6	6.90%	s s
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	79	3.83	1.05	19	21.84%	16	18.39%	19	21.84%	2	2.30%	2	2.30%	21	24.14%	6 8
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	78	3.68	1.20	15	17.24%	12	13.79%	13	14.94%	4	4.60%	3	3.45%	31	35.63%	s g

COE						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strong	y Disagree			No
COE				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	79	4.32	0.80	34	39.08%	24	27.59%	8	9.20%	2	2.30%	6 (0.00%	11	16.95%	
The services available through the campus bookstore						1			1							
are adequate.	79	3.88	0.98	17	19.54%	25	28.74%	14	16.09%	2	2.30%		2 2.30%	19	30.51%	
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	79	3.45	1.17	12	13.79%	23	26.44%	18	20.69%	6	6.90%	5 f	6.90%	14	15.25%	8
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																
services.	79	4.05	0.93	25	28.74%	21	24.14%	17	19.54%	1	1.15%	5 1	1 1.15%	14	11.86%	, o
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	79	4.06	1.06	26	29.89%	24	27.59%	6	6.90%	5	5.75%		2 2.30%	16	13.56%	8
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	79	3.81	1.33	25	28.74%	15	17.24%	7	8.05%	7	8.05%	5 5	5 5.75%	20	20.34%	8
There is adequate parking for faculty.	78	2.60	1.48	11	12.64%	13	14.94%	9	10.34%	16	18.39%	24	4 27.59%	5	6.78%	9
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	79	3.93	1.17	29	33.33%	24	27.59%	10	11.49%	6	6.90%		4 4.60%	6	5.08%	8
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	79	4.14	1.30	48	55.17%	11	12.64%	6	6.90%	8	9.20%	5 5	5 5.75%	1	0.00%	o o
I feel physically safe on campus.	79	4.59	0.70	52	59.77%	16	18.39%	6	6.90%	1	1.15%	i (0.00%	4	1.69%	8
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in						1			1							0
my College.	78	4.11	1.02	28	32.18%	21	24.14%	8	9.20%	5	5.75%	5 1	1 1.15%	15	13.56%	9
My teaching load is fair.	78	4.35	0.85	40	45.98%	25	28.74%	6	6.90%	4	4.60%	5 (0.00%	3	1.69%	9
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	79	3.82	1.22	27	31.03%	25	28.74%	4	4.60%	15	17.24%	5 2	2 2.30%	6	3.39%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	79	3.74	1.17	18	20.69%	23	26.44%	9	10.34%	8	9.20%	5 3	3 3.45%	18	15.25%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																0
university.	78	3.72	1.30	25	28.74%	21	24.14%	7	8.05%	11	12.64%	5	5 5.75%	9	10.17%	5
I receive adequate clerical support.	79	3.57	1.44	27	31.03%	14	16.09%	5	5.75%	17	19.54%	6	6.90%	10	5.08%	8
There is collegial support within my																0
department/program.	79	4.09	1.21	41	47.13%	20	22.99%	6	6.90%	8	9.20%	5 4	4 4.60%	0	0.00%	
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																Q
college.	79	3.57	1.40	21	24.14%	7	8.05%	14	16.09%	6	6.90%	6	6.90%	25	42.37%	
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
internal grant.	79	3.96	1.11	21	24.14%	14	16.09%	11	12.64%	3	3.45%		2 2.30%	28	23.73%	8

COE						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COE				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	'ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																0
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	79	3.70	1.36	28	32.18%	22	25.29%	13	14.94%	4	4.60%	10	11.49%	2	2.30%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																0
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	79	3.41	1.42	22	25.29%	20	22.99%	11	12.64%	11	12.64%	11	12.64%	4	4.60%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																8
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	79	3.57	1.39	26	29.89%	16	18.39%	15	17.24%	8	9.20%	9	10.34%	5	5.75%	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	79	3.31	1.43	19	21.84%	18	20.69%	11	12.64%	12	13.79%	11	12.64%	8	9.20%	8
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																0
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	78	3.95	1.21	28	32.18%	15	17.24%	10	11.49%	6	6.90%	3	3.45%	16	18.39%	3
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																0
performance as a faculty member.	78	3.26	1.46	15	17.24%	16	18.39%	5	5.75%	13	14.94%	9	10.34%	20	22.99%	9
The merit system is applied fairly.	79	3.05	1.38	10	11.49%	17	19.54%	10	11.49%	12	13.79%	11	12.64%	19	21.84%	8
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	79	2.57	1.40	8	9.20%	4	4.60%	12	13.79%	12	13.79%	15	17.24%	28	32.18%	8
The promotion system is applied fairly.	79	3.49	1.34	17	19.54%	14	16.09%	12	13.79%	8	9.20%	6	6.90%	22	25.29%	8
																0
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	79	3.66	1.25	18	20.69%	13	14.94%	11	12.64%	8	9.20%	3	3.45%	26	29.89%	0
The tenure system process at the university level is																
clear.	79	3.41	1.39	17	19.54%	12	13.79%	10	11.49%	11	12.64%	6	6.90%	23	26.44%	0
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of																0
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	78	3.63	1.19	13	14.94%	8	9.20%	11	12.64%	7	8.05%	1	1.15%	38	43.68%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																0
Tenure and Promotion.	79	3.16	1.71	21	24.14%	8	9.20%	6	6.90%	5	5.75%	18	20.69%	21	24.14%	0
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																0
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	79	3.25	1.71	22	25.29%	8	9.20%	6	6.90%	4	4.60%	17	19.54%	22	25.29%	0
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																Q
to Sam Houston State University.	79	2.67	1.44	11	12.64%	15	17.24%	7	8.05%	22	25.29%	20	22.99%	4	4.60%	0
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																0
when compared to similar universities.	79	2.48	1.32	6	6.90%	14	16.09%	8	9.20%	23	26.44%	20	22.99%	8	9.20%	°
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	79	4.04	1.08	35	40.23%	22	25.29%	14	16.09%	6	6.90%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%	8

College of Health Sciences

Total number of respondents	27
Completed Surveys	22
Tenured/Tenure-Track	22
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	28
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	78.57%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	3
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	2

				Much mo Satisfacto		More Satisfac	e than tory (4)	Satisfac	torv (3)	Less Satisfac		Much le Satisfac	ess than tory (1)	N	'A	No Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	27	3.79	0.98	8	29.63%	4	14.81%	11	40.74%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	3	11.11%	8
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	27	3.57	0.95	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	11	40.74%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	4	14.81%	9
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	27	3.27	1.10	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	7	25.93%	0	0.00%	1	3.70%	16	59.26%	9
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	26	3.86	0.95	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	12	44.44%	9
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	27	3.56	0.88	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	18	66.67%	10
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	27	3.56	0.88	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	18	66.67%	9
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	27	3.83	0.94	4	14.81%	2	7.41%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15	55.56%	10
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	27	3.71	0.91	4	14.81%	2	7.41%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	13	48.15%	10
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	27	4.00	0.85	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15	55.56%	9
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	27	3.88	0.81	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	6	22.22%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	11	40.74%	11
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	27	3.67	1.18	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%	12	44.44%	10
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	27	3.64	0.93	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	13	48.15%	10
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	27	4.00	0.88	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	13	48.15%	9
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	27	3.92	0.95	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	14	51.85%	9
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	27	3.44	0.88	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	7	25.93%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	18	66.67%	9
Dean (R. Runyan)	26	3.38	1.42	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	0	0.00%	9
Assistant Dean (E. Roper)	25	4.17	0.98	11	40.74%	7	25.93%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	2	7.41%	9
Associate Dean (R. Zapalac)	26	4.08	1.02	11	40.74%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	2	7.41%	9

соня						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COHS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in my																1
departmental/program's budget decisions.	26	2.71	1.35	2	7.41%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	5	5 18.52%	5	18.52%	, ¹
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																1
of Administrators.	26	2.91	1.15	1	3.70%	7	25.93%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	3	3 11.11%	4	14.81%	
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																1
of Faculty.	26	3.48	1.12	4	14.81%	9	33.33%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	1	L 3.70%	3	11.11%	
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																1
Planning of my College/Library.	26	3.36	1.14	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	4	14.81%	1	L 3.70%	4	14.81%	, ¹
Administration effectively communicates with the																1
faculty.	26	3.23	1.45	5	18.52%	10	37.04%	2	7.41%	4	14.81%	5	5 18.52%	0	0.00%	
																1
Administration consistently follows official policies.	26	3.54	1.35	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	2	2 7.41%	2	7.41%	
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																1
representing faculty views to the administration.	26	3.55	1.34	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	3	3 11.11%	4	14.81%	
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	25	4.16	0.85	10	37.04%	10	37.04%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																2
adequate.	25	4.21	0.98	11	40.74%	9	33.33%	3	11.11%	0	0.00%	1	L 3.70%	1	3.70%	i
There is adequate support for developing online																2
courses/degrees/programs.	25	4.13	1.14	11	40.74%	8	29.63%	1	3.70%	2			L 3.70%	2	7.41%	
Library Services meets my needs.	25	4.14	1.01	10	37.04%	6	22.22%	3	11.11%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	4	14.81%	2
The library meets the needs of my department's																2
curriculum.	25	4.10	0.97	8	29.63%	8	29.63%	2	7.41%	2	7.41%	0	0.00%	5	18.52%	
I receive adequate support from the Office of																2
Research and Sponsored Programs.	25	4.00	0.88	6	22.22%	8	29.63%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	C	0.00%	6	22.22%	
																3
The resources available for my research are adequate.	24	3.41	1.00	2	7.41%	6	22.22%	7	25.93%	1	3.70%	1	L 3.70%	7	25.93%	
The resources available to provide a successful																2
graduate program are adequate.	25	3.06	1.25	2	7.41%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	2	2 7.41%	8	29.63%	
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																2
needs of the faculty.	25	3.47	0.96	2	7.41%	9	33.33%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	0	0.00%	6	22.22%	
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																2
quality students.	25					8		7					2 7.41%	2		
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	24	3.33		3		6	22.22%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%			6	22.22%	
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	25	3.56	1.15	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	1	3.70%	1	L 3.70%	9	33.33%	2

соня						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strong	y Disagree			No
COHS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	25	4.18	0.91	10	37.04%	7	25.93%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%		0.00%	3	11.11%	
The services available through the campus bookstore																2
are adequate.	25	3.89	0.90	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%		0.00%	7	25.93%	2
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	25	3.55	0.89	3	11.11%	7	25.93%	8	29.63%	2	7.41%	. (0.00%	5	18.52%	2
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																2
services.	25	3.62	1.12	4	14.81%	10	37.04%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%		1 3.70%	4	14.81%	
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	24	3.58	1.26	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	. :	2 7.41%	5	18.52%	3
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	25	3.83	1.04	4	14.81%	10	37.04%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%	. :	1 3.70%	7	25.93%	2
There is adequate parking for faculty.	25	3.96	1.08	9	33.33%	8	29.63%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%		1 3.70%	1	3.70%	2
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	25	3.52	1.47	8	29.63%	6	22.22%	2	7.41%	4	14.81%		3 11.11%	2	7.41%	2
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	25	4.00	1.22	11	40.74%	8	29.63%	3	11.11%	1	3.70%		2 7.41%	0	0.00%	2 Z
I feel physically safe on campus.	25	4.28	0.94	13	48.15%	8	29.63%	2	7.41%	2	7.41%	. (0.00%	0	0.00%	2
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																2
my College.	24	3.24	1.25	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	7	25.93%		0.00%	7	25.93%	
My teaching load is fair.	24	4.00	0.95	8	29.63%	9	33.33%	4	14.81%	2	7.41%		0.00%	1	3.70%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	24	3.43	1.25	5	18.52%	6	22.22%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	· :	1 3.70%	3	11.11%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	24	3.18	1.13	2	7.41%	4	14.81%	8	29.63%	1	3.70%		2 7.41%	7	25.93%	3
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																2
university.	24	3.35	1.27	4	14.81%	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%		2 7.41%	4	14.81%	J
I receive adequate clerical support.	24	3.77	1.27	7	25.93%	9	33.33%	2	7.41%	2	7.41%		2 7.41%	2	7.41%	3
There is collegial support within my																2
department/program.	24	3.91	1.28	10	37.04%	6	22.22%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%		2 7.41%	1	3.70%	
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																2
college.	24	3.33	1.29	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%		2 7.41%	9	33.33%	
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																2
internal grant.	24	3.57	0.76	1	3.70%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%		0.00%	10	37.04%)

соня						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Som	ewhat	Strong	y Disagree			No
COHS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagi	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																-
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	24	3.73	1.16	6	22.22%	9	33.33%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%	:	1 3.70%	2	7.41%	,
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	24	3.38	1.43	5	18.52%	7	25.93%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%	, 4	4 14.81%	3	11.11%	<u>,</u>
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																3
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	24	3.71	1.21	6	22.22%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	4	14.81%	· (0 0.00%	7	25.93%	5
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line							10 500		2 70%	_						, 3
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	24	3.75	1.34	6	22.22%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%	3	11.11%		1 3.70%	8	29.63%	b
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																, 5
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	22	3.72	0.89	3	11.11%	9	33.33%	4	14.81%	2	7.41%	· (0 0.00%	4	14.81%	5
The FES is an adequate measurement of my				_		_				_						. 5
performance as a faculty member.	22	3.33	1.03	2		7	25.93%	4	1.101/0	-	18.52%		0 0.00%	4	14.81%	-
The merit system is applied fairly.	22	2.87	1.25	1		5	10102/0	2	7.41%	5			2 7.41%	7	25.93%	
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	22	2.79	1.05	0	0.00%	4	14.81%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%		2 7.41%	8	29.63%	5 5
The promotion system is applied fairly.	22	3.13	1.06	0	0.00%	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	1	3.70%		2 7.41%	7	25.93%	5 5
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	22	3.50	0.94	0	0.00%	10	37.04%	2	7.41%	1	3.70%		1 3.70%	8	29.63%	5
The tenure system process at the university level is clear.	22	2.76	1.15	1	3.70%	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	6	22.22%		2 7.41%		18.52%	, 5
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of		2.70	1.15	1	5.70%	4	14.01/0	4	14.01/0	0	22.22/0	· ·	2 7.41/0	J	10.527	
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	22	3.50	0.90	0	0.00%	8	29.63%	2	11.11%	0	0.00%		1 3.70%	10	37.04%	. 5
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for	22	5.50	0.50	0	0.0070	0	29.0370	5	11.11/0	0	0.00%	· ·	1 3.7070	10	37.047	
Tenure and Promotion.	22	3.94	1.06	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	4	14.81%	2	7.41%		0.00%	4	14.81%	. 5
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for		5.54	1.00	,	23.3370		10.5270		14.0170	2	7.4170		0.0070		14.01/	
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	22	3.89	1.13	7	25.93%	5	18.52%	3	11.11%	3	11.11%		0.00%	4	14.81%	5
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution		5.05	1.15	1	23.3370		10.0270	5	11.11/0		11.11/0	``	0.0070		14.01/	-
to Sam Houston State University.	22	2.91	1.38	4	14.81%	4	14.81%	2	11.11%	R	29.63%		3 11.11%	0	0.00%	, 5
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank		2.51	1.50		14.0170		14.0170	5	11.11/0		23.0370	· · · · ·	5 11.11/0		0.007	,
when compared to similar universities.	22	2.68	1.34	2	7.41%	4	14.81%	3	11.11%	6	22.22%		4 14.81%	3	11.11%	. 5
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	22	3.77	1.19	7		8					11.11%		1 3.70%	0		1

College of Humanities and Social

Sciences

Total number of respondents	85
Completed Surveys	77
Tenured/Tenure-Track	53
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	130
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	40.77%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	26
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	6

				Much mo	re than	More	than			Less	than	Muchl	ess than			No
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N,	/Α	Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	79	3.08	1.26	13	15.29%	14	16.47%	18	21.18%	20	23.53%	7	8.24%	7	8.24%	6
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	78	3.32	1.47	22	25.88%	10	11.76%	15	17.65%	10	11.76%	11	12.94%	10	11.76%	7
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	79	2.79	1.17	3	3.53%	6	7.06%	9	10.59%	11	12.94%	4	4.71%	46	54.12%	6
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	79	3.76	1.20	19	22.35%	7	8.24%	18	21.18%	2	2.35%	3	3.53%	30	35.29%	6
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	79	3.30	0.97	3	3.53%	5	5.88%	12	14.12%	2	2.35%	1	1.18%	56	65.88%	6
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	79	3.00	1.13	3	3.53%	5	5.88%	9	10.59%	7	8.24%	2	2.35%	53	62.35%	6
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	79	3.68	1.14	13	15.29%	12	14.12%	13	15.29%	4	4.71%	2	2.35%	35	41.18%	6
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	79	3.42	1.39	10	11.76%	11	12.94%	8	9.41%	3	3.53%	6	7.06%	41	48.24%	6
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	79	3.68	1.27	12	14.12%	8	9.41%	7	8.24%	5	5.88%	2	2.35%	45	52.94%	6
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	78	3.65	1.02	10	11.76%	20	23.53%	17	20.00%	1	1.18%	3	3.53%	27	31.76%	7
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	77	3.49	1.27	12	14.12%	11	12.94%	14	16.47%	3	3.53%	5	5.88%	32	37.65%	8
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	78	3.88	1.11	15	17.65%	10	11.76%	12	14.12%	1	1.18%	2	2.35%	38	44.71%	7
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	79	3.60	1.23	13	15.29%	12	14.12%	13	15.29%	3	3.53%	4	4.71%	34	40.00%	6
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	79	3.48	1.32	11	12.94%	11	12.94%	8	9.41%	6	7.06%	4	4.71%	39	45.88%	6
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	79	2.91	1.38	7	8.24%	3	3.53%	10	11.76%	8	9.41%	6	7.06%	45	52.94%	6
Dean (A. Zink)	79	3.21	1.51	21	24.71%	16	18.82%	11	12.94%	12	14.12%	15	17.65%	4	4.71%	6
Associate Dean (J. Crosby)	79	3.90	1.03	14	16.47%	12	14.12%	11	12.94%	2	2.35%	1	1.18%	39	45.88%	6
Associate Dean (C. Nardone)	79	3.88	1.21	21	24.71%	11	12.94%	12	14.12%	3	3.53%	3	3.53%	29	34.12%	6
Associate Dean (G. Sanford)	79	3.68	1.43	23	27.06%	12	14.12%	8	9.41%	6	7.06%	7	8.24%	23	27.06%	6

COLISS						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COHSS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in my																
departmental/program's budget decisions.	78	2.34	1.49	6	7.06%	14	16.47%	7	8.24%	6	7.06%	31	36.47%	14	16.47%	, , ,
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																
of Administrators.	77	2.48	1.47	7	8.24%	11	12.94%	11	12.94%	7	8.24%	25	5 29.41%	16	18.82%	, c
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																-
of Faculty.	78	3.10	1.60	19	22.35%	17	20.00%	6	7.06%	10	11.76%	19	22.35%	7	8.24%	, ,
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																-
Planning of my College/Library.	78	2.61	1.36	5	5.88%	13	15.29%	14	16.47%	8	9.41%	19	22.35%	19	22.35%	, ,
Administration effectively communicates with the																-
faculty.	78	3.01	1.49	17	20.00%	15	17.65%	9	10.59%	18	21.18%	15	5 17.65%	4	4.71%	, , ,
																-
Administration consistently follows official policies.	78	3.27	1.49	21	24.71%	10	11.76%	12	14.12%	12	14.12%	11	12.94%	12	14.12%	, , ,
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																-
representing faculty views to the administration.	78	3.74	1.22	21	24.71%	17	20.00%	13	15.29%	6	7.06%	4	4.71%	17	20.00%	, ,
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	75	3.96	1.29	34	40.00%	24	28.24%	3	3.53%	8	9.41%	ε	5 7.06%	0	0.00%	5 10
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																10
adequate.	75	4.04	1.08	30	35.29%	26	30.59%	7	8.24%	7	8.24%	2	2.35%	3	3.53%	ó
There is adequate support for developing online																11
courses/degrees/programs.	74	4.22	1.10	38	44.71%	17	20.00%	5	5.88%	6	7.06%	2	2.35%	6	7.06%	, i
Library Services meets my needs.	75	4.47	0.87	48	56.47%	14	16.47%	6	7.06%	4	4.71%	<u> </u>	0.00%	3	3.53%	5 10
The library meets the needs of my department's																10
curriculum.	75	4.41	0.94	42	49.41%	19	22.35%	4	4.71%	2	2.35%	2	2.35%	6	7.06%	6
I receive adequate support from the Office of																11
Research and Sponsored Programs.	74	3.71	1.17	16	18.82%	14	16.47%	14	16.47%	4	4.71%	3	3.53%	23	27.06%	, 1
																10
The resources available for my research are adequate.	75	3.89	1.27	28	32.94%	16	18.82%	10	11.76%	5	5.88%	5	5.88%	11	12.94%	6
The resources available to provide a successful																11
graduate program are adequate.	74	3.33	1.47	14	16.47%	18	21.18%	5	5.88%	8	9.41%	10	11.76%	19	22.35%	6
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																10
needs of the faculty.	75	3.89	1.13	23	27.06%	20	23.53%	10	11.76%	7	8.24%	2	2.35%	13	15.29%	6
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																11
quality students.	74	2.98	1.28	9	10.59%	17	20.00%	13	15.29%	18	21.18%	, s	10.59%	8	9.41%	6
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	75		1.27	8	9.41%	11	12.94%	11	12.94%	18	21.18%	6	7.06%	21		1
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	75	3.05	1.34	7	8.24%	11	12.94%	9	10.59%	9	10.59%	7	8.24%	32	37.65%	5 10

course						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COHSS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ee (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																11
adequate.	74	4.12	0.92	23	27.06%	23	27.06%	9	10.59%	2	2.35%	1	1.18%	16	18.82%	11
The services available through the campus bookstore		1		1	1	1										11
are adequate.	74	3.16	1.11	7	8.24%	16	18.82%	17	20.00%	15	17.65%	3	3.53%	16	18.82%	11
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	75	3.20	1.36	14	16.47%	12	14.12%	14	16.47%	12	14.12%	8	9.41%	15	17.65%	10
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																10
services.	75	3.61	1.31	18	21.18%	19	22.35%	14	16.47%	2	2.35%	8	9.41%	14	16.47%	10
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	73	3.93	0.83	8	9.41%	13	15.29%	8	9.41%	1	1.18%	0	0.00%	43	50.59%	12
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	73	3.74	1.10	7	8.24%	6	7.06%	8	9.41%	1	1.18%	1	1.18%	50	58.82%	12
There is adequate parking for faculty.	74	3.13	1.42	13	15.29%	21	24.71%	7	8.24%	14	16.47%	12	14.12%	7	8.24%	11
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	74	4.10	1.15	33	38.82%	21	24.71%	5	5.88%	6	7.06%	3	3.53%	6	7.06%	11
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																10
workplace.	75	3.81	1.39	33	38.82%	16	18.82%	5	5.88%	12	14.12%	6	7.06%	3	3.53%	10
I feel physically safe on campus.	74	4.44	0.87	46	54.12%	16	18.82%	6	7.06%	4	4.71%	0	0.00%	2	2.35%	11
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in		1		1	1	1										0
my College.	77	3.63	1.24	18	21.18%	23	27.06%	8	9.41%	11	12.94%	4	4.71%	13	15.29%	0
My teaching load is fair.	77	4.05	1.21	36	42.35%	23	27.06%	4	4.71%	8	9.41%	4	4.71%	2	2.35%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	77	3.26	1.44	21	24.71%	12	14.12%	15	17.65%	13	15.29%	11	12.94%	5	5.88%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	77	3.41	1.26	15	17.65%	14	16.47%	19	22.35%	7	8.24%	6	7.06%	16	18.82%	8
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																0
university.	77	3.29	1.40	19	22.35%	10	11.76%	17	20.00%	11	12.94%	9	10.59%	11	12.94%	0
I receive adequate clerical support.	77	3.85	1.41	33	38.82%	16	18.82%	10	11.76%	2	2.35%	10	11.76%	6	7.06%	8
There is collegial support within my																a
department/program.	76	4.08	1.04	32	37.65%	22	25.88%	12	14.12%	4	4.71%	2	2.35%	4	4.71%	9
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																0
college.	76	3.41	1.35	13	15.29%	13	15.29%	10	11.76%	7	8.24%	6	7.06%	27	31.76%	3
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																٩
internal grant.	76	3.35	1.30	13	15.29%	11	12.94%	14	16.47%	9	10.59%	5	5.88%	24	28.24%	9

course						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COHSS				Strongly	Agree (5)	(•	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																Q
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	77	3.03	1.28	12	14.12%	13	15.29%	24	28.24%	13	15.29%	11	12.94%	4	4.71%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																0
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	77	2.97	1.29	10	11.76%	18	21.18%	20	23.53%	14	16.47%	13	15.29%	2	2.35%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																8
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	77	2.87	1.34	7	8.24%	11	12.94%	13	15.29%	10	11.76%	11	12.94%	25	29.41%	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	77	2.56	1.26	4	4.71%	8	9.41%	15	17.65%	11	12.94%	14	16.47%	25	29.41%	8
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																0
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	76	3.38	1.51	21	24.71%	14	16.47%	8	9.41%	10	11.76%	11	12.94%	12	14.12%	9
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																0
performance as a faculty member.	76	2.90	1.36	8	9.41%	15	17.65%	13	15.29%	11	12.94%	13	15.29%	16	18.82%	9
The merit system is applied fairly.	76	2.98	1.21	4	4.71%	21	24.71%	15	17.65%	10	11.76%	10	11.76%	16	18.82%	9
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	76	2.70	1.38	5	5.88%	10	11.76%	10	11.76%	8	9.41%	13	15.29%	30	35.29%	9
The promotion system is applied fairly.	76	3.33	1.18	8	9.41%	18	21.18%	12	14.12%	9	10.59%	4	4.71%	25	29.41%	9
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	76	3.71	1.17	14	16.47%	17	20.00%	12	14.12%	2	2.35%	4	4.71%	27	31.76%	9
The tenure system process at the university level is																0
clear.	76	2.81	1.39	9	10.59%	9	10.59%	10	11.76%	15	17.65%	11	12.94%	22	25.88%	9
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of																0
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	76	3.29	1.45	9	10.59%	9	10.59%	6	7.06%	5	5.88%	6	7.06%	41	48.24%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for	76	2.37	1.54	10	11.76%	6	7.06%	11	12.94%	6	7.06%	30	35.29%	13	15.29%	9
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																0
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	77	2.44	1.56	11	12.94%	7	8.24%	9	10.59%	8	9.41%	28	32.94%	14	16.47%	0
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																Q
to Sam Houston State University.	77	2.57	1.26	7	8.24%	14	16.47%	9	10.59%	30	35.29%	15	17.65%	2	2.35%	°
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																Q
when compared to similar universities.	77	2.49	1.34	7	8.24%	12	14.12%	12	14.12%	19	22.35%	22	25.88%	5	5.88%	0
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	77	3.78	1.25	29	34.12%	23	27.06%	7	8.24%	15	17.65%	3	3.53%	0	0.00%	8

College of Osteopathic Medicine

Total number of respondents	6
Completed Surveys	6
Tenured/Tenure-Track	6
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	12
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	50.00%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	0
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	0

				Much mo		More				Less			ess than			No
				Satisfacto	ry (5)	Satisfac	tory (4)	Satisfac	tory (3)	Satisfac	tory (2)	Satisfac	tory (1)	N,	A	Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	6	4.17	0.98	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	6	3.83	0.98	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	6	1.00	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	6	4.00	0.00	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	66.67%	0
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	6	3.67	0.58	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	6	4.00	1.00	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	6	3.00	1.41	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	4	66.67%	0
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	6	4.33	0.58	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	6	4.67	0.58	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	6	5.00	n/a	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	83.33%	0
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	6	4.00	n/a	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	83.33%	0
Dean (C.Henley)	6	2.83	0.98	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Assistant Dean (S. Gates)	6	2.40	0.89	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0
Associate Dean (M. Hopper)	5	2.80	1.10	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Associate Dean (S. McKernan)	6	3.33	1.03	0	0.00%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Associate Dean (C. West)	6	3.83	0.41	0	0.00%	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

сом						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strong	ly Disagree			No
COM				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in my																0
departmental/program's budget decisions.	6	4.00	1.26	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	5	0 0.00%	C	0.00%	6
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																0
of Administrators.	6	2.75	0.96	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	2	33.33%		0 0.00%	2	33.33%	6
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																0
of Faculty.	6	4.83	0.41	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0 0.00%	0	0.00%	, Č
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																0
Planning of my College/Library.	6	3.60	0.89	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	5	0 0.00%	1	16.67%	, ,
Administration effectively communicates with the																0
faculty.	6	3.17	1.17	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	2	33.33%	5	0 0.00%	0	0.00%	6
																0
Administration consistently follows official policies.	6	4.17	1.17	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	j	0 0.00%	0	0.00%	<u>,</u>
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																0
representing faculty views to the administration.	6	-	1.47	1		2			10.0770				1 16.67%	0		-
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	6	4.33	0.82	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		0 0.00%	0	0.00%	6 0
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																0
adequate.	6	4.00	0.82	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	5	0 0.00%	2	33.33%	6
There is adequate support for developing online				_												. 0
courses/degrees/programs.	6		-	3			16.67%		16.67%				0 0.00%	C		
Library Services meets my needs.	6	4.50	0.84	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	5	0 0.00%	C	0.00%	6 0
The library meets the needs of my department's																, o
curriculum.	6	4.50	0.84	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%)	0 0.00%	0	0.00%	
I receive adequate support from the Office of				_	00.000		16 6704		0.000/		0.000/				0.000	, o
Research and Sponsored Programs.	6	4.83	0.41	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0 0.00%	C	0.00%	0
The recourse and lable for my recourse are adapted.	6	4.20	1.30	3	F0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	10 070/		0 0.00%	1	10.070	, 0
The resources available for my research are adequate. The resources available to provide a successful	6	4.20	1.30	3	50.00%	I	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%		0 0.00%	1	16.67%	D
graduate program are adequate.	6	4.25	1.50	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%		0 0.00%		33.33%	, 0
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the		4.25	1.50	3	30.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		10.07%		0.00%		33.33%	
needs of the faculty.	6	4.33	1.21	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%		0 0.00%	0	0.00%	<u>ر</u> 0
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting			1.21		50.0770		10.0770	0	0.0070		10.0770	<u> </u>	0.0070		0.007	,
quality students.	6	4.75	0.50	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		0 0.00%	2	33.33%	<u>ر</u> 0
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	-	n/a	n/a	0		0			0.00%				0 0.00%		100.00%	
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.		n/a	n/a	0		0				-			0 0.00%		100.00%	
the start center oners chective mentoring services.	0	11/a	ina	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	'	0.00%	0	100.00%	ין ע

сом						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strong	y Disagree			No
COM				Strong	Agree (5)	(4)	Disag	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	-	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																
adequate.	6	4.00	n/a		0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	i I	0.00%	5	83.33%	
The services available through the campus bookstore																0
are adequate.	6	6 4.00	n/a		0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	0.00%	5	83.33%	
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	6	3.50	1.0	D	l 16.67%	0	0.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	j (0.00%	2	33.33%	0
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																0
services.	e	6 4.00	1.7	3	3 50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	.	1 16.67%	1	16.67%	
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	6	3.60	1.3	4	2 33.33%	0	0.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%		0.00%	1	16.67%	, o
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	E				2 33.33%				16.67%	1			0 0.00%	2		
There is adequate parking for faculty.	E				5 83.33%					0			1 16.67%	0		
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	6	4.33	1.2	1 4	4 66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	5 (0.00%	0	0.00%	
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	6	4.33	0.8	2 3	3 50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	5 0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
I feel physically safe on campus.	6	6 4.33	1.2	1 4	4 66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	i (0.00%	0	0.00%	0
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																0
my College.	6	5.00	n/a		l 16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	i I	0.00%	5	83.33%	
My teaching load is fair.	6	6 4.00	1.0) :	2 33.33%	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	5 0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	6	5.00	n/a		l 16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	j (0.00%	5	83.33%	0
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	6	6 4.33	1.1	5 3	2 33.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	i (0.00%	3	50.00%	0
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																0
university.	6	6 4.33	1.1	5 3	2 33.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	5	0.00%	3	50.00%	
I receive adequate clerical support.	6	6 4.75	0.5	o :	3 50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 1	0.00%	2	33.33%	0
There is collegial support within my																
department/program.	6	5.00	0.0)	5 100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	i (0 0.00%	0	0.00%	
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																0
college.	6	6 4.80	0.4	5 4	4 66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	i (0 0.00%	1	16.67%	
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																
internal grant.	6	5.00	0.0	<u>, </u>	4 66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<u>i</u>	0.00%	2	33.33%	

сом						Somew	hat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	/ Disagree			No
COM				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)		(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																0
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	, 0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	6	100.00%	, 0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	6	100.00%	0
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	6	n/a	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	6	100.00%	, 0
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	6	5.00	n/a	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	c	0.00%	5	83.33%	0
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	6	2.75	1.50) o	0.00%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	0
The merit system is applied fairly.	6	3.00	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	5	83.33%	0
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	6	3.00	n/a	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	5	83.33%	0
The promotion system is applied fairly.	6	4.00	n/a	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	5	83.33%	, 0
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	6	4.00	1.41	. 1	16.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	c	0.00%	4	66.67%	, 0
The tenure system process at the university level is	_															0
clear.	6	3.40	0.89	0 0	0.00%	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	C	0.00%	1	16.67%	
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	6	5.00	0.00	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	c	0.00%	4	66.67%	, 0
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Tenure and Promotion.	6	4.80	0.45	5 4	66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	c	0.00%	1	16.67%	0
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	6	4.80	0.45	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	c	0.00%	1	16.67%	0
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																
to Sam Houston State University.	6	3.60	1.14	1	16.67%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	C	0.00%	1	16.67%	, 0
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																0
when compared to similar universities.	6		1.14		16.67%	2		1	16.67%	1						-
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	6	4.67	0.52	2 4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	C	0.00%	0	0.00%	, 0

College of Science and Technology

Total number of respondents	86
Completed Surveys	81
Tenured/Tenure-Track	53
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	122
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	43.44%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	26
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	7

				Much m		More		. .	(2)		than		ess than			No
					tory (5)	Satisfac		Satisfact		Satisfac	1		tory (1)	N/		Response
Administrator	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	85	2.69	1.24	9	10.47%	8	9.30%	27	31.40%	18	20.93%	16	18.60%	7	8.14%	1
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	85	3.01	1.26	12	13.95%	15	17.44%	25	29.07%	16	18.60%	11	12.79%	6	6.98%	1
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	85	2.36	1.18	4	4.65%	5	5.81%	13	15.12%	19	22.09%	15	17.44%	29	33.72%	1
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	85	3.57	1.08	12	13.95%	15	17.44%	20	23.26%	3	3.49%	3	3.49%	32	37.21%	1
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	84	3.14	1.10	6	6.98%	8	9.30%	18	20.93%	8	9.30%	3	3.49%	41	47.67%	2
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	85	2.89	1.17	3	3.49%	11	12.79%	15	17.44%	8	9.30%	7	8.14%	41	47.67%	1
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	84	3.18	1.31	12	13.95%	13	15.12%	17	19.77%	10	11.63%	8	9.30%	24	27.91%	2
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	85	2.87	1.39	7	8.14%	9	10.47%	12	13.95%	7	8.14%	11	12.79%	39	45.35%	1
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	85	3.28	1.34	14	16.28%	14	16.28%	17	19.77%	7	8.14%	9	10.47%	24	27.91%	1
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	84	3.50	1.11	13	15.12%	10	11.63%	21	24.42%	6	6.98%	2	2.33%	32	37.21%	2
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	83	3.36	1.29	12	13.95%	14	16.28%	14	16.28%	7	8.14%	6	6.98%	30	34.88%	3
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	84	3.59	1.24	17	19.77%	18	20.93%	16	18.60%	4	4.65%	6	6.98%	23	26.74%	2
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	84	3.74	1.20	16	18.60%	19	22.09%	10	11.63%	4	4.65%	4	4.65%	31	36.05%	2
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	84	3.56	1.21	14	16.28%	12	13.95%	16	18.60%	4	4.65%	4	4.65%	34	39.53%	2
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	84	3.47	0.98	6	6.98%	11	12.79%	18	20.93%	1	1.16%	2	2.33%	46	53.49%	2
Dean (J. Pascarella)	84	3.54	1.08	19	22.09%	22	25.58%	27	31.40%	12	13.95%	2	2.33%	2	2.33%	2
Associate Dean (A. Gaillard)	84	3.82	1.01	23	26.74%	19	22.09%	26	30.23%	2	2.33%	2	2.33%	12	13.95%	2
Associate Dean (M. Gillespie)	84	3.84	0.92	23	26.74%	22	25.58%	30	34.88%	1	1.16%	1	1.16%	7	8.14%	2

COSET						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
COSEI				Strongly	Agree (5)	(*	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																1
departmental/program's budget decisions.	84	3.02	1.51	18	20.93%	18	20.93%	15	17.44%	10	11.63%	21	24.42%	2	9.86%	<u>د</u>
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																1
of Administrators.	85	1.96	1.16	4	4.65%	4	4.65%	14	16.28%	19	22.09%	37	43.02%	7	11.27%	5 I
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																7
of Faculty.	84	4.06	1.28	41	47.67%	22	25.58%	5	5.81%	5	5.81%	7	8.14%	4	5.63%	δ ²
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																2
Planning of my College/Library.	84	2.68	1.38	9	10.47%	13	15.12%	18	20.93%	13	15.12%	21	24.42%	10	12.68%	5 Z
Administration effectively communicates with the																1
faculty.	85	2.80	1.36	11	12.79%	18	20.93%	15	17.44%	21	24.42%	18	20.93%	2	2.82%	<u>.</u>
																1
Administration consistently follows official policies.	85	3.18	1.29	16	18.60%	13	15.12%	29	33.72%	9	10.47%	11	12.79%	7	9.86%	<u>.</u>
The University Faculty Senate is effective in																1
representing faculty views to the administration.	85	3.39	1.22	15	17.44%	21	24.42%	19	22.09%	11	12.79%	6	6.98%	13	14.08%	5
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	83	3.57	1.33	25	29.07%	26	30.23%	11	12.79%	13	15.12%	8	9.30%	0	0.00%	<u>;</u> 3
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																3
adequate.	83	4.05	1.07	34	39.53%	23	26.74%	12	13.95%	6	6.98%	2	2.33%	6	23.94%	5
There is adequate support for developing online																2
courses/degrees/programs.	83	3.93	1.18	28	32.56%	30	34.88%	6	6.98%	6	6.98%	5	5.81%	8	29.58%	5
Library Services meets my needs.	83	4.01	1.00	29	33.72%	27	31.40%	13	15.12%	6	6.98%	1	1.16%	7	8.45%	<u>;</u> 3
The library meets the needs of my department's																2
curriculum.	83	3.95	1.02	27	31.40%	24	27.91%	16	18.60%	6	6.98%	1	1.16%	9	9.86%	5
I receive adequate support from the Office of																2
Research and Sponsored Programs.	83	3.97	1.19	30	34.88%	23	26.74%	9	10.47%	4	4.65%	5	5.81%	12	14.08%	<u>.</u>
																3
The resources available for my research are adequate.	83	3.40	1.35	17	19.77%	26	30.23%	13	15.12%	8	9.30%	11	12.79%	8	15.49%	<u>.</u>
The resources available to provide a successful																3
graduate program are adequate.	83	2.77	1.34	6	6.98%	20	23.26%	12	13.95%	14	16.28%	17	19.77%	14	16.90%	,
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the																3
needs of the faculty.	83	3.23	1.26	12	13.95%	26	30.23%	16	18.60%	14	16.28%	9	10.47%	6	7.04%	3
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting					_											3
quality students.	83	2.59	1.24	5			19.77%				24.42%			1		
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	83	2.71	1.25	7		13				18		-		10		
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	83	2.89	1.27	6	6.98%	13	15.12%	15	17.44%	11	12.79%	10	11.63%	28	28.17%	<u>i</u> 3

00077						Somewh	at agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	what	Strongly	Disagree			No
COSET				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4	4)	Disagr	ee (3)	Disagr	ee (2)		1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																4
adequate.	82	4.13	0.85	27	31.40%	24	27.91%	14	16.28%	2	2.33%	C	0.00%	15	17.44%	4
The services available through the campus bookstore																2
are adequate.	83	3.18	1.24	8	9.30%	20	23.26%	15	17.44%	9	10.47%	8	9.30%	23	26.74%	5
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	83	3.00	1.25	7	8.14%	22	25.58%	18	20.93%	12	13.95%	12	13.95%	12	13.95%	3
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																2
services.	83	3.57	1.05	12	13.95%	31	36.05%	19	22.09%	6	6.98%	4	4.65%	11	12.79%	3
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	83	3.70	0.99	6	6.98%	10	11.63%	9	10.47%	1	1.16%	1	1.16%	56	65.12%	3
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	82	3.57	1.03	4	4.65%	7	8.14%	8	9.30%	1	1.16%	1	1.16%	61	70.93%	4
There is adequate parking for faculty.	83	3.53	1.34	22	25.58%	27	31.40%	12	13.95%	9	10.47%	10	11.63%	3	3.49%	3
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	83	3.77	1.23	28	32.56%	29	33.72%	11	12.79%	9	10.47%	6	6.98%	0	0.00%	3
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	83	3.83	1.40	40	46.51%	12	13.95%	12	13.95%	9	10.47%	8	9.30%	2	2.33%	3
I feel physically safe on campus.	83	4.14	1.12	43	50.00%	21	24.42%	10	11.63%	6	6.98%	3	3.49%	0	0.00%	3
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in																5
my College.	81	3.50	1.20	17	19.77%	24	27.91%	17	19.77%	11	12.79%	5	5.81%	7	8.14%	J
My teaching load is fair.	82	3.66	1.27	25	29.07%	28	32.56%	12	13.95%	10	11.63%	7	8.14%	0	0.00%	4
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	82	3.37	1.27	19	22.09%	21	24.42%	21	24.42%	13	15.12%	8	9.30%	0	0.00%	4
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	82	3.42	1.17	14	16.28%	22	25.58%	22	25.58%	8	9.30%	6	6.98%	10	11.63%	4
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the																4
university.	82	3.17	1.29	14	16.28%	18	20.93%	25	29.07%	9	10.47%	12	13.95%	4	4.65%	+
I receive adequate clerical support.	82	3.76	1.33	32	37.21%	18	20.93%	11	12.79%	11	12.79%	6	6.98%	4	4.65%	4
There is collegial support within my																4
department/program.	82	4.19	1.10	43	50.00%	21	24.42%	9	10.47%	5	5.81%	3	3.49%	1	1.16%	+
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																4
college.	82	3.33	1.33	14	16.28%	14	16.28%	14	16.28%	9	10.47%	7	8.14%	24	27.91%	4
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																4
internal grant.	82	3.79	1.17	22	25.58%	25	29.07%	14	16.28%	4	4.65%	5	5.81%	12	13.95%	4

00077						Somew	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
COSET				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	N,	/A	Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	82	2.93	1.36	9	10.47%	28	32.56%	10	11.63%	18	20.93%	17	19.77%	0	0.00%	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	82	2.78	1.38	9	10.47%	22	25.58%	12	13.95%	18	20.93%	20	23.26%	1	1.16%	4
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																4
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	82	2.76	1.39	5	5.81%	13	15.12%	10	11.63%	7	8.14%	14	16.28%	33	38.37%	
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	82	2.60	1.43	5	5.81%	11	12.79%	8	9.30%	8	9.30%	16	18.60%	34	39.53%	4
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	81	3.96	1.09	27	31.40%	26	30.23%	14	16.28%	2	2.33%	4	4.65%	8	9.30%	3
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																
performance as a faculty member.	81	3.52	1.18	16	18.60%	25	29.07%	14	16.28%	12	13.95%	4	4.65%	10	11.63%	3
The merit system is applied fairly.	81	3.25	1.35	15	17.44%	24	27.91%	11	12.79%	15	17.44%	10	11.63%	6	6.98%	5
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	81	2.63	1.31	7	8.14%	9	10.47%	15	17.44%	16	18.60%	15	17.44%	19	22.09%	5
The promotion system is applied fairly.	81	3.63	1.20	21	24.42%	21	24.42%	19	22.09%	7	8.14%	5	5.81%	8	9.30%	5
																_
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	81	4.12	1.06	33	38.37%	19	22.09%	11	12.79%	4	4.65%	2	2.33%	12	13.95%	5
The tenure system process at the university level is																
clear.	80	3.38	1.26	16	18.60%	18	20.93%	15	17.44%	14	16.28%	5	5.81%	12	13.95%	C
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of	1							1						1		
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	81	3.68	1.26	20	23.26%	19	22.09%	11	12.79%	7	8.14%	5	5.81%	19	22.09%	
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																
Tenure and Promotion.	81	2.95	1.55	17	19.77%	13	15.12%	13	15.12%	9	10.47%	21	24.42%	8	9.30%	3
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for	1							1						1		
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	81	2.89	1.56	16	18.60%	13	15.12%	12	13.95%	9	10.47%	22	25.58%	9	10.47%	
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution	81	2.85	1.29	7	8.14%	25	29.07%	13	15.12%	21	24.42%	15	17.44%	0	0.00%	5
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																6
when compared to similar universities.	80	2.68	1.26	6	6.98%	19	22.09%	13	15.12%	24	27.91%	16	18.60%	2	2.33%	
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	81	3.85	1.14	26	30.23%	34	39.53%	8	9.30%	9	10.47%	4	4.65%	0	0.00%	5

Newton Gresham Library

Total number of respondents	13
Completed Surveys	11
Tenured/Tenure-Track	13
Tenured/Tenure-Track in Department	16
Percentage of Tenured/Tenure-Track Responding	81.25%
Instructors/Clinical Faculty Responding	0
Number of surveys where rank was skipped	0

				Much mo Satisfacto		More Satisfac		Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfac	than tory (2)	Much lo Satisfac		N/	A	No Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
University President (D. Hoyt)	12	2.67	0.65	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Provost/VP Academic Affairs (R. Eglsaer)	12	3.42	1.08	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
VP Finance and Operations (C. Hernandez)	12	1.92	0.79	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	5	38.46%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	1
VP Student Service (F. Parker)	12	3.50	0.97	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	1
VP University Advancement (F. Holmes)	12	3.38	1.06	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	1
VP Enrollment Management (H. Thielemann)	12	3.56	1.01	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	1
VP Information Technology (M. Adams)	12	2.55	1.04	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	1
Assoc. VPAA (C. Maynard)	12	3.73	0.79	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	1
Assoc VP FSS (B. Loft)	12	3.33	0.71	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	1
Dean of Students (J. Yarabeck)	12	3.50	0.84	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	46.15%	1
Dean Grad Studies (K. Hendrickson)	12	3.89	0.93	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	1
Assoc. VP Res. & Spec. Progs. (C. Hargrave)	12	3.63	0.74	1	7.69%	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	1
Assoc. VP Distance Learning (B. Angrove)	12	3.60	0.84	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	1
Assoc. VP for AA (S. Franklin)	12	3.78	0.83	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	1
Assoc. VP Human Res. & RM (D. Hammonds)	12	3.75	1.04	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	1
Director (E. Owen)	11	4.67	0.49	8	61.54%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2

NGL						Somewh	nat agree	Neither	Agree or	Some	ewhat	Strongly	Disagree			No
NGL				Strongly	Agree (5)	(4)	Disagr	ree (3)	Disag	ree (2)	(1)	Ν	N/A	Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
I have an opportunity to participate in my																2
departmental/program's budget decisions.	11	3.58	1.38	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	6 (0.00%	5
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																1
of Administrators.	12	3.42	1.56	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	5 C	0.00%	, 1
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection																1
of Faculty.	12	4.50	0.52	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	, 1
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic																2
Planning of my College/Library.	11	4.17	0.72	4	30.77%	5	38.46%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 (0.00%	
Administration effectively communicates with the								1	1							1
faculty.	12	3.75	1.06	3	23.08%	5	38.46%	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	5 1
· ·																1
Administration consistently follows official policies.	12	4.17	0.72	4	30.77%	6	46.15%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	, 1
The University Faculty Senate is effective in								1	1							
representing faculty views to the administration.	12	4.08	0.79	3	23.08%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	5 (0.00%	, 1
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	12	2.83	1.11	0	0.00%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	5 (0.00%	5 1
The services that DELTA (Distance Education &																
Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are																1
adequate.	12	3.90	0.57	1	7.69%	7	53.85%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		15.38%	5
There is adequate support for developing online																
courses/degrees/programs.	12	3.20	0.79	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	4	30.77%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%		15.38%	1
Library Services meets my needs.	12	4.64	0.67	8	61.54%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 1	L 7.69%	5 1
The library meets the needs of my department's																
curriculum.	11	4.60	0.70	7	53.85%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 1	L 7.69%	<u>ک</u>
I receive adequate support from the Office of																
Research and Sponsored Programs.	12	3.60	1.17	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%		15.38%	5 1
· · ·																1
The resources available for my research are adequate.	12	4.00	0.89	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 1	L 7.69%	, 1
The resources available to provide a successful																2
graduate program are adequate.	11	4.20	0.84	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	6 46.15%	<u>ک</u>
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the					1		1	1	1	1						1
needs of the faculty.	12	4.58	0.67	8	61.54%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 (0.00%	, 1
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting																1
quality students.	12	3.40	0.70	0	0.00%	5	38.46%	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	5 2	15.38%	
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	12	3.29	0.49	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 5	5 38.46%	5 1
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	12	3.29	0.49	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		38.46%	, 1

				Strongly		Somewh		Neither		Somewh		Strongly				No
NGL				Agree		at agree		Agree or		at		Disagree		N/A		Response
Perception Question	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are																1
adequate.	12	3.91	0.83	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	1
The services available through the campus bookstore																1
are adequate.	12	3.00	0.50	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	7	53.85%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	1
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	12	2.55	0.93	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	1
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate																1
services.	12	3.70	0.95	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	1
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	12	3.60	0.55	0	0.00%	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	53.85%	1
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	12	3.40			0.00%			3	23.08%		0.00%			7	53.85%	
There is adequate parking for faculty.	12	3.42			23.08%	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	0		
My physical work environment																
(office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	12	3.67	1.23	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the																
workplace.	12	4.17	0.83	5	38.46%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
I feel physically safe on campus.	12	4.08	0.90	4	30.77%	6	46.15%	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in		1						1							1	1
my College.	12	3.67	0.58	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	69.23%	1
My teaching load is fair.	12	3.80	0.84	1	7.69%	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	7	53.85%	1
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	12	3.00	1.26	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	1
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	12	3.80	0.63	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	1
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the		1						1							1	1
university.	12	3.91	0.70	2	15.38%	6	46.15%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	1
I receive adequate clerical support.	12	4.42	1.00	8	61.54%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
There is collegial support within my																1
department/program.	12	3.83	1.19	5	38.46%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my																1
college.	12	3.50	0.84	1	7.69%	1	7.69%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	46.15%	
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an																1
internal grant.	12	3.57	0.53	0	0.00%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	38.46%	1

				Strongly		Somewh		Neither		Somewh		Strongly				No
NGL				Agree		at agree		Agree or		at		Disagree	1	N/A		Response
Perception Question	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																1
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	12	2.83	1.17	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	5 1	7.69%	6	46.15%	ا ا
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my																1
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	12	3.17	0.41	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	6	46.15%	<u>.</u>
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																1
teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	12	2.86	0.90	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	5 1	7.69%	5	38.46%	5
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line																1
teaching effectiveness is accurate.	12	3.00	0.58	0	0.00%	1	7.69%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%	5 C	0.00%	5	38.46%	5
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my																1
chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	12	3.50	0.76	1	7.69%	2	15.38%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	4	30.77%	5
The FES is an adequate measurement of my																1
performance as a faculty member.	12	3.42	1.00	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	5 C	0.00%	0	0.00%	5
The merit system is applied fairly.	12	3.60	0.84	1	7.69%	5	38.46%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%	5 C	0.00%	2	15.38%	រ 1
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	12	3.40	1.26	1	7.69%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	5 1	7.69%	2	15.38%	š 1
The promotion system is applied fairly.	12	3.82	0.87	2	15.38%	6	46.15%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	s c	0.00%	1	7.69%	រ 1
																2
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	11	4.33	0.49	4	30.77%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 C	0.00%	0	0.00%	5 Z
The tenure system process at the university level is																7
clear.	11	3.25	0.97	1	7.69%	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	5 C	0.00%	0	0.00%	δ ²
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of																2
tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	11	3.75	1.04	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	5 C	0.00%	4	30.77%	<u>.</u>
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																1
Tenure and Promotion.	12	3.58	1.31	4	30.77%	2	15.38%	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	5 1	7.69%	0	0.00%	5 I
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for																1
Post-Tenure and Promotion.	12	3.64	1.29	3	23.08%	4	30.77%	2	15.38%	1	7.69%	5 1	7.69%	1	7.69%	5 I
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution																1
to Sam Houston State University.	12	2.58	1.31	1	7.69%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	3	23.08%	3 3	3 23.08%	0	0.00%	<u>.</u>
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank																1
when compared to similar universities.	12	2.40	1.17	0	0.00%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	2	15.38%	3 3		2	15.38%	5 I
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	11	3.92	0.67	2	15.38%	6	46.15%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	i C	0.00%	0	0.00%	<u>ن</u> 2

	Total	COBA	COCI	COE	CAM	COHS	COHSS	COSET	NGL	COM
Questions	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
I feel physically safe on campus.	4.39	4.46	4.68	4.59	4.34	4.28	4.44	4.14	4.08	3 4.33
Library Services meets my needs.	4.33	4.03	4.29	4.55	4.52	4.14	4.47	4.01	4.64	4.50
The library meets the needs of my department's curriculum.	4.28	4.10	4.26	4.52	4.40	4.10	4.41	3.95	4.60	4.50
The facilities at the Lowman Student Center are adequate.	4.19	3.91	4.28	4.32	4.35	4.18	4.12	4.13	3.91	L 4.00
The services that DELTA (Distance Education & Learning Technologies for Academics) provides are adequate.	4.17	3.83	4.54	4.37	4.23	4.21	4.04	4.05	3.90	4.00
There is adequate support for developing online courses/degrees/programs.	4.17	4.06	4.45	4.51	4.12	4.13	4.22	3.93	3.20	4.00
There is collegial support within my department/program.	4.03	3.86	4.08	4.09	3.76	3.91	4.08	4.19	3.83	3 5.00
My teaching load is fair.	4.01	4.06	4.35	4.35	3.74	4.00	4.05	3.66	3.80	4.00
I feel free from intimidation/discrimination in the workplace.	3.92	3.54	4.23	4.14	3.79	4.00	3.81	3.83	4.17	7 4.33
Overall, I am satisfied with my job at SHSU.	3.91	3.46	4.46	4.04	3.85	3.77	3.78	3.85	3.92	2 4.67
IT@Sam (Computer Services) meets my needs.	3.89	3.77	4.48	3.99	3.82	4.16	3.96	3.57	2.83	3 4.33
My physical work environment (office/classroom/lab) is adequate.	3.87	3.97	3.97	3.93	3.67	3.52	4.10	3.77	3.67	7 4.33
I receive adequate support from the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs.	3.86	3.55	3.96	3.88	3.82	4.00	3.71	3.97	3.60	4.83
The facilities at the Woodlands Center are adequate.	3.83	3.58	3.67	4.06	3.95	3.58	3.93	3.70	3.60	3.60
I receive adequate clerical support.	3.80	3.91	4.19	3.57	3.56	3.77	3.85	3.76	4.42	2 4.75
The appraisal of my teaching effectiveness by my chair fairly reflects my teaching performance.	3.80	3.65	4.28	3.95	3.81	3.72	3.38	3.96	3.50	5.00
The staff at the Woodlands Center is adequate.	3.74	3.69	3.31	3.81	4.00	3.83	3.74	3.57	3.40	3.75
The tenure system is applied fairly in my department.	3.74	3.24	3.92	3.66	3.42	3.50	3.71	4.12	4.33	3 4.00
The 3/3 and 4/4 work load policy is handled fairly in my College.	3.73	4.09	3.84	4.11	3.57	3.24	3.63	3.50	3.67	7 5.00
The University Faculty Senate is effective in representing faculty views to the administration.	3.72	3.34	3.64	4.08	4.04	3.55	3.74	3.39	4.08	3 3.17
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Faculty.	3.72	4.03	3.55	3.98	3.48	3.48	3.10	4.06	4.50	4.83
The Human Resource Department offers me adequate services.	3.71	3.56	3.77	4.05	3.69	3.62	3.61	3.57	3.70	4.00
The resources available for my research are adequate.	3.68	3.68	4.03	3.89	3.30	3.41	3.89	3.40	4.00	4.20
The allocation of travel reimbursements meets the needs of the faculty.	3.67	4.32	3.25	4.03	3.08	3.47	3.89	3.23	4.58	3 4.33
I am satisfied with the guidelines for receiving an internal grant.	3.66	3.12	4.05	3.96	3.50	3.57	3.35	3.79	3.57	7 5.00
Administration consistently follows official policies.	3.63	3.27	4.14	4.25	3.63	3.54	3.27	3.18	4.17	7 4.17
The performance evaluation (post tenure review) of tenured faculty is applied fairly in my department.	3.55	3.48	4.00	3.63	3.10	3.50	3.29	3.68	3.75	5 5.00
The services available through the campus bookstore are adequate.	3.50	3.21	3.76	3.88	3.83	3.89	3.16	3.18	3.00	4.00
I receive adequate recognition for my teaching.	3.44	3.37	3.87	3.82	3.09	3.43	3.26	3.37	3.00	5.00
The promotion system is applied fairly.	3.43	3.10	3.60	3.49	3.27	3.13	3.33	3.63	3.82	2 4.00
Administrative reassigned time is applied fairly in my college.	3.43	3.24	3.50	3.57	3.27	3.33	3.41	3.33	3.50	4.80
I receive adequate recognition for my research.	3.42	3.23	3.39	3.74	3.10	3.18	3.41	3.42	3.80	4.33
I receive adequate recognition for my service to the university.	3.34	3.29	3.53	3.72	2.92	3.35	3.29	3.17	3.91	L 4.33
Administration effectively communicates with the faculty.	3.32	3.06	3.84	4.10	3.20	3.23	3.01	2.80	3.75	5 3.17
The SAM Center offers effective Mentoring Services.	3.32	3.05	3.67	3.68	3.67	3.56	3.05	2.89	3.29) n/a
The resources available to provide a successful graduate program are adequate.	3.31	3.15	3.90	3.76	2.94	3.06	3.33	2.77	4.20	-
The SAM Center offers effective Advising Services.	3.30	3.14						+		
The FES is an adequate measurement of my performance as a faculty member.	3.29	3.06								
The services provided by ARAMARK are adequate.	3.26	3.30			-					

	Total	COBA	COCJ	COE	CAM	COHS	COHSS	COSET	NGL	COM
Questions	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
There is adequate parking for faculty.	3.21	3.15	3.61	2.60	2.92	3.96	3.13	3.53	3.42	4.33
The merit system is applied fairly.	3.19	3.23	3.56	3.05	3.33	2.87	2.98	3.25	3.60	3.00
The university is doing an adequate job recruiting quality students.	3.15	3.21	3.79	3.63	2.87	3.43	2.98	2.59	3.40	4.75
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	3.14	2.80	3.42	3.70	2.69	3.73	3.03	2.93	2.83	n/a
The tenure system process at the university level is clear.	3.14	2.81	3.40	3.41	3.02	2.76	2.81	3.38	3.25	3.40
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Post-Tenure and Promotion.	3.13	3.52	3.36	3.25	3.19	3.89	2.44	2.89	3.64	4.80
Collegiality is an appropriate evaluation category for Tenure and Promotion.	3.10	3.45	3.38	3.16	3.11	3.94	2.37	2.95	3.58	4.80
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is administered effectively.	3.09	2.73	3.35	3.57	2.55	3.71	2.87	2.76	2.86	n/a
I have an opportunity to participate in the Strategic Planning of my College/Library.	3.05	2.74	2.81	3.83	3.02	3.36	2.61	2.68	4.17	3.60
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my teaching effectiveness is accurate.	3.02	2.68	3.47	3.41	2.69	3.38	2.97	2.78	3.17	n/a
The student instrument (IDEA) appraising my on-line teaching effectiveness is accurate.	2.89	2.39	3.27	3.31	2.52	3.75	2.56	2.60	3.00	n/a
I have an opportunity to participate in my departmental/program's budget decisions.	2.79	2.09	2.56	3.09	2.96	2.71	2.34	3.02	3.58	4.00
My salary is appropriate relative to my contribution to Sam Houston State University.	2.76	3.09	3.29	2.67	2.29	2.91	2.57	2.85	2.58	3.60
Market adjustments are applied fairly.	2.70	2.62	3.13	2.57	2.53	2.79	2.70	2.63	3.40	3.00
My salary is appropriate relative to my current rank when compared to similar universities.	2.61	2.78	3.29	2.48	2.29	2.68	2.49	2.68	2.40	3.60
I have an opportunity to participate in the selection of Administrators.	2.42	2.06	2.31	2.88	2.30	2.91	2.48	1.96	3.42	2.75

Historical Comparisons																							
	19-20	18-19	17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	99-00	98-99	97-98
President	3.04	3.09	3.16	2.92	3.2	3.57	3.42	3.68	3.54		4.19	4.05	3.74	3.91	3.89	3.45	3.31	3.48			5.10	3.28	3.7
Provost/VPAA	3.30	3.10	3.53	3.53	4.16	4.01	4.11	4.26	4.04	3.03	3.31	3.31	3.31	3.34	3.16	2.59	2.5	2.77	2.96	2.44	2.58	2.4	3.1
	3.10	3.25	3.43	3.29	3.37	3.34	3.32	3.2	3.1	3.28	3.37	3.4	3.55	3.35	3.34	3.02							
VPFO	2.68	2.96	3.12	3.03	3.31	3.33	3.07	3.33	3.33	3.22	3.58	3.52	2.97	3.04	3.09	3.2	2.89	2.62	2.38	2.42	2.64	2.56	3
VP Advancement	3.26	3.21	3.36	3.81	3.27	3.42	3.28	3.37	3.36	3.37	3.47	3.24	3.26	3.24	3.19	2.76	2.57	2.78	2.66				
VPSS	3.66	3.75	3.73	3.25	3.73	3.68	3.54	3.95	3.77	3.81	3.89	3.88	3.84	3.8	3.87	2.34	1.99	2.08	1.92	2.16	2.55	2.6	2.8
VP IT	3.37	3.30	3.35	3.32	3.22	3.25	3.11	3.15															
Associate VPAA	3.38	3.16	3.76	3.69	3.8	3.75	3.78	3.86	3.5	3.78	3.78	3.63	3.68	3.68	3.69	3.55	3.54	3.73	3.78	3.43	3.46		
Associate VP FSS	3.45																						
Associate VPAA	3.69																						
Dean of Students	3.61	3.56	3.63																				
Dean Grad Studies AVPAA	3.35	3.22	3.42	3.47	3.9	3.51	3.48	3.34	3.57	3.51	3.73	3.8	3.7	3.58	3.67	3.6	3.39						
Assoc VP Res. & SP	3.73	3.57	3.74	3.58	3.81	3.64	3.71	pp. 31 - 34	3.72	3.79	3.77	3.71	3.06	3.16	2.5	2.53	2.2	2.41	2.71	3.04	3.16		
Assoc VP HR	3.39	3.29	3.35	3.22	3.25																		
Assoc VP Dist Lng	3.68	3.51	3.54	3.53	3.51	3.58	3.54	3.43	3.05	2.87	3.26												
Your Dean/Library Director				3.76	3.74	3.83	3.92	3.97	3.68	3.82	3.96	3.88	3.89	4.21	4.07	3.62	3.43	3.26	3.17	3.43	3.71	3.5	3.5
Your Associate Dean 1				3.79																			
Your Associate Dean 2				3.47																			
Your Associate Dean 3				3.78																			
Budget Decision Participation in Dept.	2.79	2.35	2.71	2.95	2.91	2.83	2.92	3.1	3.06	2.94	3.18	3.21	2.98	3.13	3.19	2.34	2.26	2.16	2.24	2.17	2.25	2.18	2.2
Selection of Admins.	2.42			2.65	2.51	2.5	2.73	2.88	2.72			2.72	2.52	2.64	2.55	2.11	1.98					2.33	2.6
Selection of Faculty	3.72	3.46	3.95	3.94	4	3.63	4.2	4.25	4.18	4.19	4.21	4.23	4.14	4.33	4.5		3.34	3.2				3.4	3.5
Administration follows policy	3.05	2.43	3.23	3.22	3.39																		
Strategic Planning in College	3.32	2.70	3.08	3.01	3	2.9	2.97	3.31	3.35	3.08	3.27	3.28	3.26	3.4	3.31	2.47	2.29	2.4	2.46	2.31	2.39		
Communication with Admin.	3.63	2.85	2.96	3.38	3.01	3.1	3.9	3.1	2.81	0.28	3	3.01	2.82	2.96	2.94	2.35	2.25						
Faculty Senate	3.72	3.23	3.37	3.39	3.65	3.48		3.59	3.46	3.36	3.36	3.23	3.16	3.25	3.42	3.25	3.34	3.36	2.96	3.01	3.11	3.26	3.5
IT@Sam (Computer Services)	3.89	3.83	3.76	3.75	3.51	3.59	3.23	3.4	3.21	2.98	3.1	3.09	2.63	3.58	3.65	3.63	3.73	3.78	3.74	3.53	3.39	3.24	3.2
DELTA Services Adequate	4.17	3.53	3.90	4.06	3.88	3.83	3.64	3.52	3.31	3.1													
Adequate support for online courses	4.17	3.37	4.00	3.97	3.79	3.96	3.57	3.35	3.26	3.06	3.03	2.82	2.75	2.9	2.81								
Library Services for Faculty	4.33	3.91	4.21	4.31	4.16	4.17	4.17	4.18	4.28	4.15	4.23	4.17	4.12	4.17	4.32	4.05	3.98	3.93	3.74	3.65	3.77	3.57	3.4
Library good for Dept. Curriculum	4.28	3.72	4.21	4.3	4.14	4.14	4.15	4.09	4.15	4.04	4.18	3.02	3.95	3.9	4.06	3.93	3.88	3.8	3.73	3.5	3.66	3.41	3.4
Support from Contracts and Grants/adequate																							
support from the Office of Research and	3.86	2.77																					
Sponsored Programs			3.37	3.71	3.65	3.55	3.38	3.58	3.57	3.54	3.53	3.17	2.89	3.02	3.03	2.56	2.61	2.73	3	3.19	3.13		
Research Resources	3.68	3.08	3.62	3.63	3.47	3.48	3.37	3.57	3.33	3.41	3.25	3.13	3.17	3.1	2.94	2.41	2.24	2.17	2.31	2.36	2.36	2.54	2.9
Graduate Program Resources	3.31	2.28	3.15	3.09	3.05	3.09	2.97	2.95	2.85	2.92	2.96	2.74	2.72	2.74	2.53	2.54	2.58	2.32	2.14	1.96	2.12	1.96	2.4
Travel Allocation	3.67	3.11	3.53	3.56	3.56	3.45	3.44	3.29	3.19	3.07	3.14	3.07	3.05	3.08	2.95	2.5	2.25	2.04	2.12	1.78	1.89	3.02	3.2
Recruiting Quality Students	3.15	2.81	3.10	3.09	2.8	3	2.94	3.07	3.02	3.03	3.16	3.14	3.07	3.08	3.46	3.17	3.09						
SAM Center Advising	3.30	2.47	3.49	3.49	3.46	3.46	3.48	3.49	3.42	3.5	3.65	3.5	3.44	3.64	3.92	3.81	4.02	3.95					
SAM Center Mentoring	3.32	1.95	3.51	3.64	3.65	3.51	3.58	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.83	3.61	3.64	3.82	3.97	3.87	4.03	3.89					
LSC facilities adequate	4.19	3.61	3.56	3.73	3.62	3.49	3.54	3.71	3.86	3.77	3.74	3.68	3.61	3.65	3.66	3.58	3.44	3.46	2.47	2.88	3.24		
LSC services adequate/Bookstore	3.50	2.45	3.32	3.29	3.7	3.59	3.59	3.66	3.89	3.72	3.71	3.69	3.49	3.6	3.63								
Aramark services adequate	3.26	2.74	3.25	3.23	3.2	3.09	0.32	3.32	3.38	3.19	3.12												
Human Resource Dept.	3.71	3.16	3.59	3.56	3.47	3.41	3.46	3.55	3.65	3.56	3.6	3.69	3.21	3.5	3.5	3.12	3.03	3.34	3.43	3.3	3.3	3.32	3.2
The Woodlands Center facilities	3.83	2.20	4.01	4.33	4.11	4.26	4.29	4.43	3.83	3.75	3.57	5.6	3.5										
The Woodlands Center staff	3.74	1.85		4.17	3.95	4.04	3.99	4.19	3.8	3.8	3.68	3.8	3.72										
Parking	3.21	2.97	2.84	3.17	1.99	2.32	2.35	2.93	2.87	2.91	2.4	2.52	3.11	3.44	3.27	2.78	2.72						
Work environment	3.87	3.63	3.57	3.74	3.57	3.64	3.67	4.05	4.06	4.13	3.88	3.98	3.66	3.81	3.7	3.31	3.46						
Free from intimidation/discrimination	3.92	3.51	3.54	3.82	3.75	3.94	3.88	4.21	3.96	4.01	4.06	3.99	3.87	3.86	3.87	3.47	3.62						
Physically safe on campus	4.39	4.11	4.25	4.31	4.2	4.38	4.36	4.37	4.41	4.34	4.36	4.33	4.23	4.37	4.53	3.61	3.66	3.6	3.21	3.32	3.49	3.43	3.4
3/3 4/4 handled fairly	3.73	3.04	3.80	3.68	3.74	3.73	3.78	3.7	3.5	3.74	3.62	3.42	3.59	3.75	3.54	3.48	3.39	3.09	3.09	3.45	3.32	3.41	3.5
Teaching Load is fair	4.01	3.73	4.05	3.79	3.89	3.95	3.92	3.78	3.66	3.86	3.76	3.63	3.65	3.71	3.8	3.2	3.11						
Recognition for teaching	3.44		3.31	3.28	3.51	3.46	3.52	3.52	3.29	3.3	3.45	3.27	3.13	3.23	3.3	2.77	2.69						
Recognition for research	3.42	2.76	3.27	3.22	3.48	3.51	3.6	3.69	3.46	3.36	3.6	3.52	3.32	3.46	3.54	3.02	3.06						
Recognition for service	3.34	2.86	3.19	3.15	3.24	3.25	3.27	3.25	3.02	3.08	3.24	3.03	3.03	3.11	3.21	2.79	2.58						
Clerical Support	3.80	3.38	3.61	3.61	3.7	3.63	3.59	3.68	3.48	3.43	3.42	3.49	3.31	3.4	3.89	3.16	3.24						
Clerical Support in dept./collegial support	4.03	3.60																					
within my department/program.		5.00	3.74	3.73	3.69	3.77	3.88	4.03	3.98	3.95	3.99	3.95	3.92	3.93	3.89	3.6	3.72						
Reassigned time	3.43		3.17	3.19	3.09	3.22	3.18	3.28	3.2	3.16	3.22	3.17	3.07	3.15	3.16	2.94	3.03	2.82	2.76	2.98	3	2.78	3.1
Internal Grants	3.66	2.55]																				
Faculty Research Fund <5000			3.71	3.41	3.7		3.36	3.48	3.48			3.39	3.09	3.07	3.02	2.93	2.73						
Enhancement Grant for Research			3.70	3.5	3.65	3.54		3.54	3.63			3.34	3.06	3.03	3.03	2.82	2.57						
IDEA Administered	3.14	2.95	2.82	2.83	3.13	3.12	3.02	2.85	2.93	2.76	2.92	2.81	2.82	2.77	2.9								
IDEA Accuracy	3.02	2.97	2.61	2.73	2.76	2.86	2.63	2.79	2.6	2.48	2.63	2.42	2.56	2.47	2.66	2.48	2.22	2.41	2.38	2.27	2.58	2.5	2.7
IDEA On-Line Administered	3.09	2.11	2.74	2.82	2.65	2.85	2.41	2.41	2.32														

		18-19	17-18	16-17	15-16	14-15	13-14	12-13	11-12	10-11	09-10	08-09	07-08	06-07	05-06	04-05	03-04	02-03	01-02	00-01	99-00	98-99	97-98
IDEA On-Line Accuracy	2.89	2.01	2.55	2.65	2.41	2.64	2.17	2.31	2.03														
Chair evaluation of my teaching	3.80	2.89	3.64	3.66	3.62	3.7	3.65	3.82	3.76	3.74	3.68	3.81	3.6	3.64	3.78	3.49	3.67	3.62	3.34	3.62	3.4	3.2	2 3.3
FES Instrument is adequate	3.29	3.73	3.16	3.13	3.14	3.2	3.23	3.31	3.06	3.15	3.08		2.93	3.12	3.2	2.56	2.43						
Merit System is applied fairly	3.19	2.97	2.94	2.97	3.18	3	2.99	3.18	2.82	3.01	2.98	3.08											
Market Adjustments applied fairly	2.70	1.90	2.32	2.28	2.48	2.31	2.22	2.56	2.45	2.62	2.78	3.01	2.91	2.92	2.96	2.55	2.56	2.74	2.46	2.38	2.67	2.52	2 2.9
Promotion System is applied fairly	3.43	2.89	3.31	3.29	3.41	3.25	3.4	3.59	3.47	3.21	3.28		3.25	3.27	3.24	2.71	2.71	2.82	2.8	3.09	2.93	2.82	2 3.2
Tenure System is applied fairly	3.74	2.71	3.43	3.63	3.56	3.44	3.76	3.92	3.84	3.76	3.72	3.29											
Tenure Process clear at univ. level	3.14	2.71	3.27	3.35	3.34	3.11	3.33	3.25	3.14	2.64	3.01	3.66	3.47	3.74	3.6	3.32	3.54	3.46	3.42	3.63	3.54	3.54	4 3.7
Post Tenure Review	3.55	2.11	3.42	3.51	3.45	3.44	3.47	3.81	3.73	3.75	3.82	3.91	3.67	3.71	3.68	3.3	3.51	3.63	3.29	3.57	3.45		
Collegiality for tenure & promotion	3.10	2.55	3.59	3.81	3.69	3.58	3.72																
Collegiality for post-tenure & promotion	3.13	2.54	3.60	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.72																
Salary at SHSU	2.76	2.89	2.57	2.59	2.69	2.68	2.66	2.85	2.56	2.79	2.89	2.79	2.64	2.59	2.6	2.57	2.61	2.53	2.46	2.36	2.47	2.11	L
Salary at Other Universities	2.61	2.48	2.35	2.38	2.45	2.58	2.45	2.51	2.3	2.45	2.57	2.39	2.46	2.32	2.23	2.24	2.13	2.14	1.99	2	2.09	1.8	3
Satisfied at SHSU	3.91	3.89	3.79	3.74	3.68	3.8	3.89	4.11	3.85	3.78	3.92	4.01	3.71	4.07	4.02	3.53	3.57	3.66	3.71	3.72	3.5	3.9	J

COVID-19 Likert scale question by

college

Total number of respondents 487

				Much mo Satisfacto		More Satisfac		Satisfac	tory (3)	Less Satisfact		Much le Satisfac		N/	A	No Response
Administrator	Ν	Mean	Std. Dev.	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N
Total University	457	3.40	1.11	95	19.51%	109	22.38%	156	32.03%	80	16.43%	17	3.49%	0	0.00%	30
College of Arts and Media	64	3.03	0.96	5	1.03%	13	2.67%	27	5.54%	17	3.49%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%	1
College of Business Administration	37	3.38	1.01	6	1.23%	10	2.05%	13	2.67%	8	1.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4
College of Criminal Justice	40	3.78	1.17	12	2.46%	15	3.08%	8	1.64%	2	0.41%	3	0.62%	0	0.00%	1
College of Education	85	3.71	1.13	29	5.95%	16	3.29%	28	5.75%	10	2.05%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%	2
College of Health Science	27	3.70	1.03	6	1.23%	11	2.26%	7	1.44%	2	0.41%	1	0.21%	0	0.00%	0
College of Humanities and Social Science	84	3.31	1.19	17	3.49%	20	4.11%	24	4.93%	18	3.70%	5	1.03%	0	0.00%	1
College of Osteopathic Medicine	6	2.83	0.75	0	0.00%	1	0.21%	3	0.62%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
College of Science and Engineering Technology	84	3.39	1.03	15	3.08%	19	3.90%	37	7.60%	10	2.05%	3	0.62%	0	0.00%	2
Newton Gresham Library	12	2.67	0.89	1	0.21%	0	0.00%	5	1.03%	6	1.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0